

Brian Moore, Lambertville, NJ.
FERC:

Please consider the following with regard to the proposed PennEast pipeline,
Pre-filing Docket number PF15-1:

I am opposed to the proposed PennEast pipeline route.

1. PennEast's proposed route has been chosen to follow alongside existing right away electric utility easements with little regard to homeowners along those existing easements. There are quite of few houses with smaller yards (1 to 3 acres) in our neighborhood which run along the electric utility easements. Just so PennEast can say that they are following along current easements, they will attempt to squeeze the pipeline through our neighborhood regardless how close our homes are and how much more land we will end up losing for another right away. Just because it is running alongside a current easement doesn't mean it is the best path. This proposed plan has not taken into account proximity to homes, woodlands, wetlands, and historic sites, etc. that are in its path. This plan does not appear to be well planned or thought out. Basically, they are just blindly following alongside an electric utility easement without regard.

2. I also believe PennEast is not dealing with property owners in good faith. PennEast hosted a dinner where homeowners could look at map models of the 400 foot study corridor. I was invited to the meeting as a homeowner who was supposedly in the 400 foot study corridor. At the dinner, they showed me on the map that my property is no longer in the 400 foot study corridor, yet I was still pressured at the dinner to consent to have my property surveyed. I was even called after the event by the same person asking for a survey. First I'm in the study corridor and then I'm not in the 400 foot study corridor, but they still need me to consent to a survey.

Thank you,
Brian Moore
West Amwell Township, Hunterdon County, NJ

Document Content(s)

28071.TXT.....1-1