

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

v.

Docket No. EL04-103-000

California Independent System Operator  
Corporation

California Independent System Operator  
Corporation

Docket No. ER04-835-000

ERRATA

(July 15, 2004)

On July 8, 2004, the Commission issued an “Order Setting Complaint for Hearing, Establishing Hearing Procedures and Refund Effective Date, And Consolidating Proceedings, And Regulatory Fairness Act Notice,” in the above-docketed proceedings. In paragraph 6 of the Order, the Commission specified the parties that filed timely motions to intervene, protests, or comments. The Order inadvertently failed to include the Modesto Irrigation District among the parties.

Accordingly, this Errata revises paragraph 6, third sentence, of the Commission’s July 8 Order to read as follows:

The following parties filed timely motions to intervene, protests or comments: California Department of Water Resources State Water Project (CDWR); California Electricity Oversight Board (EOB); Calpine Corporation; Cities of Redding, Santa Clara, and Palo Alto, California and the M-S-R Public Power Agency; City of Vernon, California; Duke Energy North America LLC and Duke Energy Trading and Marketing L.L.C.; Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (Metropolitan); the Modesto Irrigation District; Northern California Power Agency; Powerex Corp. (Powerex); Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD); Southern California Edison Company (SoCal Edison); Transmission Agency of Northern California; and Turlock Irrigation District.

Magalie R. Salas  
Secretary