

APPENDIX P

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or the Commission) issued the draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed AES Sparrows Point LNG Terminal and Pipeline Project in April 2008. 650 copies of the draft EIS were mailed to interested parties, including federal, state and local officials and agencies, special interest groups, parties to the proceeding, area libraries and newspapers, and individuals and affected landowners. The FERC's Notice of Availability of the draft EIS was issued on April 25, 2008, which initiated the formal public comment period which extended from the date of issue through June 16, 2008. During the draft EIS comment period, the FERC and the COE conducted public meetings/public hearings, respectively in Baltimore (June 9) and Edgewood (June 12), Maryland and Downingtown (June 11), Pennsylvania. The public comment meetings provided interested groups and individuals the opportunity to present oral and written comments on FERC staff's analysis of the environmental impacts of the proposed Project as described in the draft EIS. At the public comment meetings, we stated that we would accept written comments throughout the period when the final EIS was being prepared.

We received written comments on the draft EIS throughout the period from issuance of the draft EIS to preparation of the final EIS and considered each of the comments received between April 25, 2008 and October 14, 2008 in preparing the final EIS. All written comments received on the draft EIS and the transcripts of the public comment meetings on the draft EIS are part of the public record for the Project and are available in the Project docket (CP07-062, CP07-063, CP07-064 and CP07-065).

This appendix consists of the following two main sections:

- Section P1 provides an index of comment letters received on the AES Sparrows Point Project as well as each individual comment letter received with comments identified and FERC's response to each comment; and
- Section P2 contains an index of persons that provided oral comments during each of the public comment meetings held on the draft EIS and a summary of each individuals comments and FERC's response to each comment.

Table P1-1 presents a list of the written comments we received specific to the AES Sparrows Point LNG Terminal and Pipeline Project draft EIS, including the name of the commenter, and the identification number we assigned to each comment letter. Table P1-1 is sorted alphabetically by last name.

All comment letters received are reproduced in this appendix with specific comments identified and numbered. Our response to each numbered comment is presented opposite the comment.

All attachments to letters including reports, maps, articles, comment letters from others, and other documents were read and considered in the development of this EIS. If the attachment was specific to the draft EIS, it is included with the letter and we have responded to comments identified. If the attachment was not specific to the draft EIS, it was not reproduced in this appendix. If the attachment was a duplicate of a letter we responded to separately in this section of the appendix, we did not include it with the comment letter or duplicate our responses. However, original letters and attachments are located in the docket at <http://www.ferc.gov> under "E-library."

Table P1-1. Index of Comment Letters Received				
Accession No.	Commenter No.	First Name(s)	Last Name(s)	Page Number
20080610-0257	FA1	Robert H., Jr.	Herbert	P1-1
20080616-5031	FA2	Michael T.	Chezik	P1-3
20080616-5092	FA3	Rodney F., Patricia A.	Weiher, Kurkul	P1-5
20080624-0224	FA4	Margaret E.	Gaffney-Smith	P1-18
20080626-0014	FA5	William J.	Hoffman	P1-22
20080709-0062	FA6	Robert H., Jr.	Herbert	P1-33
20080812-4010	FA7	David	Densmore	P1-36
20080814-0113	FA8	Joseph P.	DaVia	P1-40
20080515-5039	SA1	Todd	Schaible	P1-52
20080613-5004	SA2	Greg	Podniesinski	P1-53
20080616-5079	SA3	Bruce	Michael	P1-55
20080619-0076	SA4	James R.	Leigey	P1-114
20080619-0090	SA5	Linda C.	Janey	P1-117
20080619-0054	SA6	James	Newbold	P1-119
20080624-0238	SA7	Linda C.	Janey	P1-120
20080805-0108	SA8	Elizabeth J.	Cole	P1-124
20080922-0064	SA9	David W.	Edgerley	P1-133
20081008-4002	SA10	Roger W.	Kirchen	P1-138
20080627-0119	SE1	John A., Jr.	Olszewski	P1-139
20080627-0119	SE2	John, Sr.	Olszewski	P1-143
20080610-5049	LA1	David A. C.	Carroll	P1-145
20080613-5049	LA2	Joseph A. "Jay" for Mary L.	Doyle Harvey	P1-158
20080616-5067	LA3	James R.	Cowhey	P1-159

Table P1-1. Index of Comment Letters Received (continued)				
Accession No.	Commenter No.	First Name(s)	Last Name(s)	Page Number
20080616-5089	LA4	Ronald T.	Bailey	P1-164
20080616-5096	LA5	Janet L.	Bowers	P1-185
20080619-0057	LA6	John J., Jr.	Roughan	P1-192
20080703-5034	LA7	Paula	Latta Coyne	P1-193
20080710-0108	LA8	Brian P.	MacEwen	P1-194
20080610-0250	LA9	John J., Jr.	Roughan	P1-195
20080627-0119	LA10	Daniel	Greig	P1-196
20080627-0119	LA11	Marge, Mary	Huggins, Rosso	P1-197
20080627-0119	LA12	Joseph P.	Licata	P1-199
20080924-5034	LA13	Margaret D.	DeCarolis	P1-200
20081002-5038	LA14	John J., Jr.	Roughan	P1-202
20080522-5063	OC1	Ronnie	Adams	P1-203
20080612-5046	OC2	Norris	McDonald	P1-208
20080612-5069	OC3	Norris	McDonald	P1-210
20080616-5018	OC4	Dr. Kay, Coles	Brawley Marsh	P1-214
20080616-0058	OC5	Russell S.	Donnelly	P1-218
20080616-5043	OC6	Steven and Joanne, Robert and Amy	McNaughton, McHugh	P1-231
20080616-5119	OC7	Alison, Beth L.	Prost, McGee	P1-234
20080617-5001	OC8	Michael R.	Helfrich	P1-236
20080617-5007	OC9	Dunbar	Brooks	P1-238
20080617-5009	OC10	Richard J.	Guarini	P1-242
20080617-5176	OC11	Sherri	Evans-Stanton	P1-244
20080619-0051	OC12	Rupert	Denney	P1-249
20080624-0235	OC13	Patricia A.	Dash	P1-251
20080627-0119	OC14	Dunbar	Brooks	P1-253
20080627-0119	OC15	Carolyn A.	Jones	P1-256
20080627-0119	OC16	Kirks Mill Historic District		P1-260
20080627-0119	OC17	Matthew	Jones	P1-262
20080627-0119	OC18	Lisa	Van Houten	P1-264
20080627-0119	OC19	Dr. Dred	Scott	P1-266
20080627-0119	OC20	William H.	Kumm	P1-267
20080627-0119	OC21	Ron	Henry	P1-269
20080701-0016	OC22	Rick	Chadsey	P1-272
20080710-0126	OC23	Rupert	Denney	P1-285
20080915-0170	OC24	Sidney W.	Beddow, II	P1-289
20080924-5029	OC25	Ronnie	Adams	P1-292
20081014-5039	OC26	Sherri	Evans-Stanton	P1-295

Table P1-1. Index of Comment Letters Received (continued)				
Accession No.	Commenter No.	First Name(s)	Last Name(s)	Page Number
20081021-0209	OC27	Rick	Chadsey	P1-300
20081030-5046	OC28	Lisa	Van Houten	P1-308
20080516-0102	IN1	Larry and Shirley	Smoose	P1-311
20080605-5017	IN2	Robert	Sheperd	P1-312
20080610-5001	IN3	Sabrina A.	Burkindine	P1-313
20080612-5000	IN4	Adam	Udell	P1-314
20080613-5007	IN5	Eric, Julie	Newman, Norton	P1-315
20080613-5126	IN6	Pamela	Green	P1-317
20080616-5008	IN7	Lisa and Joseph	Gallick	P1-318
20080616-5014	IN8	Joyce	Engle	P1-321
20080616-5015	IN9	Sheri	Hipsley	P1-322
20080616-5027	IN10	Judy	Rose	P1-323
20080616-5039	IN11	Marsha A.	Dalton	P1-329
20080616-5038	IN12	Richard J. and Victoria S.	Channell	P1-330
20080616-5047	IN13	James B. III, Susan T.	Bullitt, Barrett-Bullitt	P1-340
20080616-5051	IN14	Steven and Joanne	McNaughton	P1-345
20080617-5018	IN15	Brian	Fenimore	P1-349
20080617-5077	IN16	Peter D.	Deen	P1-351
20080618-5010	IN17	Lisa	Van Houston (Van Houten)	P1-352
20080619-0008	IN18	William E.	Murphy	P1-363
20080619-0055	IN19	Nancy and Cliff	Pollack	P1-365
20080619-0061	IN20	Thomas	Sumeson	P1-367
20080620-0035	IN21	Elizabeth A.	Anan	P1-368
20080620-0036	IN22	Carolyn	McArthur	P1-369
20080623-0003	IN23	Andrew	Durkin	P1-371
20080623-0002	IN24	Elizabeth A.	Anan	P1-380
20080623-0008	IN25	Rick and Diane	MacDougall	P1-381
20080623-0010	IN26	Richard J. and Victoria S.	Channell	P1-383
20080623-0011	IN27	Jean	MacDougall	P1-387
20080623-0009	IN28	Caitlin	MacDougall	P1-389
20080625-5006	IN29	Tim	McAleese	P1-391
20080625-0132	IN30	Guido	Guarnaccia	P1-392
20080625-0131	IN31	Dawn	Cassel	P1-403
20080701-5048	IN32	Rev. Mitchell L.	Miller	P1-404
20080718-0211	IN33	Mary Jo	Kovic	P1-406
20080627-0119	IN34	Caroline	Seamon	P1-407

Table P1-1. Index of Comment Letters Received (continued)				
Accession No.	Commenter No.	First Name(s)	Last Name(s)	Page Number
20080627-0119	IN35	Dan	Zorn	P1-409
20080627-0119	IN36	Donald E.	Milsten	P1-411
20080627-0119	IN37	Liam	O'Rourke	P1-413
20080627-0119	IN38	Rupert	Rossetti	P1-414
20080627-0119	IN39	Jeff	Pipov	P1-417
20080627-0119	IN40	Terri and Howard	Meyers	P1-418
20080627-0119	IN41	David E.	Conover	P1-419
20080627-0119	IN42	Donna	Ichniowski	P1-422
20080627-0119	IN43	Deborah	Harrison	P1-425
20080925-0074	IN44	Jesse D. and Rikki M.	Saunders	P1-426
20080627-0119	IN45	Tim	Rye	P1-428
20080627-0119	IN46	Author Unknown	Author Unknown	P1-429
20080627-0119	IN47	Mary	DeLezze	P1-431
20080627-0119	IN48	Tom	Nelson	P1-432
20080627-0119	IN49	Brenda	Wilson	P1-433
20080627-0119	IN50	Robert	Resau	P1-435
20080627-0119	IN51	Alan and Denise	Anthony	P1-436
20080627-0119	IN52	Linn Marie	Abrams	P1-437
20080627-0119	IN53	Loretta L.	Grynkiewicz	P1-438
20080627-0119	IN54	Crossan	O'Donovan	P1-439
20080627-0119	IN55	Matthew	Smith	P1-440
20080627-0119	IN56	Carolyn P.	Ducan	P1-441
20080627-0119	IN57	Ruth E.	Coole	P1-443
20080627-0119	IN58	Barbara	Kenny	P1-444
20080627-0119	IN59	Julius, Jr.	Fischer	P1-445
20080627-0119	IN60	Dorothy A.	Matz	P1-447
20080627-0119	IN61	Blair W.	Fleischmann	P1-449
20080813-5034	IN62	Christi	Osborne	P1-450
20080822-0039	IN63	Blair W.	Fleischmann	P1-452
20080829-5033	IN64	Andrew	Durkin	P1-453
20080827-5017	IN65	Eric	Newman	P1-455
20080904-0532	IN66	Edward P.	Fitts	P1-456
20080911-5005	IN67	Richard J. and Victoria S.	Channell	P1-457
20080911-0136	IN68	William V.	Munton	P1-461
20080916-5082	IN69	James B. III, Susan T.	Bullitt Barrett-Bullitt	P1-463
20080929-0074	IN70	Rita A.	Conway	P1-464
20081006-0077	IN71	Rita A.	Conway	P1-465

Table P1-1. Index of Comment Letters Received (continued)				
Accession No.	Commenter No.	First Name(s)	Last Name(s)	Page Number
20081006-5054	IN72	Richard J. and Victoria S.	Channell	P1-466
20080619-0108	AES1	Christopher	Diez	P1-467

Commenter Identification:

AES = Applicant / AES Sparrows Point LNG, LLC and Mid-Atlantic Express, LLC

FA = Federal Agency

SA = State Agency

SE = State Elected Official

LA = Local Agency

LE = Local Elected Official

OC = Organization or Company

IN = Individual

Table P2-1. Index of Comments Received at Public Comment Meetings	
	Page Number
Baltimore, Maryland – June 9, 2008	
Jim Smith	P2-1
John Griffin	P2-3
Barbara Mikulski	P2-6
Irene Spatafore	P2-7
Rupert Denney	P2-7
Donna Roberts	P2-8
Richard Muth	P2-10
David Carroll	P2-11
Mary Harvey	P2-12
Frank Holden	P2-12
Norris McDonald	P2-13
Phyllis Seward	P2-14
Mark Hubbard	P2-15
Joseph Minnick	P2-17
John Olszewski	P2-17
Dutch Ruppertsberger	P2-18
Maxine Thompson	P2-20
Phyllis Elaine Driscoll	P2-21
Linwood N. Jackson	P2-21
Norman R. Stone, Jr.	P2-22
John Olszewski, Jr.	P2-24
Benjamin L. Cardin	P2-26
David Jones	P2-27
Matt Jones	P2-28
John Polek	P2-28
Russell Donnelly	P2-29
Pat McDonough	P2-30
Buddy Cefalu	P2-31
Donald Milsten	P2-31
Carolyn Jones	P2-31
Guido Guarnaccia	P2-34
John Truskowski	P2-34
Frank Buddy Howard	P2-35
Ernie Greco	P2-35
Tom Powers	P2-36

Table P2-1. Index of Comments Received at Public Comment Meetings

Baltimore Maryland – June 9, 2008 continued

Terry Rosso	P2-36
Rebecca Kolberg	P2-37
Russ Spangler	P2-37
Ron Henry	P2-38
Erin Garrigan	P2-38
Mike Vivirito	P2-40
Dennis McCartney	P2-41
Terry Ratcliff	P2-41
Tom Nelson	P2-41
Dunbar Brooks	P2-41
Fred Thiess	P2-43
John Romecki	P2-44
Alexander Pappas	P2-45
Thomas Suneson	P2-45
Rick Chadsey	P2-45
Larry Silverman	P2-46
Andrew Fellows	P2-47

Downingtown, Pennsylvania – June 11, 2008

Matthew Jones	P2-48
Rupert Rossetti	P2-49
James Bullitt	P2-52
Jeffrey Piper	P2-54
Russell Donnelly	P2-55
Lisa Van Houten	P2-60
Joan Deen	P2-62
Dan Shanor	P2-64
David Sweeny	P2-66
Teri Dignazio	P2-67
Eric Newman	P2-68
John Goodall	P2-69
Dan Shanor	P2-71
Russell Donnelly	P2-71
Sue Bullitt	P2-72
Lisa Van Houten	P2-72
Paula Latta Coyne	P2-74
Joe Civis	P2-74
Dan Shanor	P2-75

Table P2-1. Index of Comments Received at Public Comment Meetings

James Bullitt	P2-75
Audience	P2-76
Lisa Van Houten	P2-76
Audience	P2-76
Lisa Van Houten	P2-76
Russell Donnelly	P2-77
Edgewood, Maryland – June 12, 2008	
Guido Guarnaccia	P2-78
Frank Holden	P2-79
Valerie Twanmoh	P2-81
Linwood Jackson	P2-84
Donna Ichniowski	P2-85
Pat McDonough	P2-87
Ron Henry	P2-89
William H. Kumm	P2-90
Peter Reid	P2-91
Heather Cambell	P2-91
Ronnie Adams	P2-93
Roxanne Lynch	P2-95
Harry Argentino	P2-96
Tony Paszkiewicz	P2-96
Deborah Mance	P2-98
Russell Donnelly	P2-99
Roman Ratych	P2-101
Linda Heilman	P2-101
Kelsey Paszkiavicz	P2-102
Marian Sweeny	P2-103
Lee Crush	P2-104
Matthew Jones	P2-104
Harold Spurgeon	P2-106
Adrienne Brown	P2-107
James Olgastowski	P2-107
Christine Heisey	P2-108
Carolyn Hicks	P2-109
Larry Silverman	P2-110
Caroline Seamon	P2-111
Louis Knopacki	P2-111
Amy Woolf	P2-112

Table P2-1. Index of Comments Received at Public Comment Meetings	
Edgewood, Maryland – June 12, 2008 continued	
Russell Donnelly	P2-112
Linwood N. Jackson	P2-113

Section P3

Comment Letters Received in Response to FERC's October 29, 2008 Notice to Landowners Regarding New Route Variations 6B, 10A, 12C, Kirks Mill Variation A, Romansville Road Variation B, 13, 14, and Chesaco Avenue Variation

Table P3-1. Summary of FERC's Review of Comment Letters Received in Response to FERC's October 29, 2008 Notice to Landowners Regarding New Route Variations 6B, 10A, 12C, Kirks Mill Variation A, Romansville Road Variation B, 13, 14, and Chesaco Avenue Variation			
Name of Commenter	Accession No.	Summary of Comments	Route Variation (See Section 3.3.3)
Richard J. and Victoria S. Channell	20081008-5052; 20081021-5048; 20081027-5031; 20081107-5035; 20081120-5015	<p>20081008-5052 - Mr. and Mrs. Channel state that the AES October 6th filing did not properly identify the number of residences within 50 feet of the workspace. They also indicated that the SSP for MP 84.45 has unacceptable impacts to trees, sidewalks, wetlands, and wells. Mr. and Mrs. Channell have attached a map to this letter showing their modification to Variation 12C to reduce impacts. They have requested that FERC require AES to conduct geological analysis on the well locations/depths in comparison to the HDD location/depth along Variation 12C. They feel that a modified Variation 12C is the best route.</p> <p>20081021-5048 - Mr. and Mrs. Channel state that the AES October 6th filing did not properly identify the number of residences within 50 feet of the workspace as well as impacted wetlands along the proposed route. Mr. and Mrs. Channell believe that privileged information should be public so that true impacts can be looked at. They looked at an alignment sheet from April of 2007 and believe that the impacts shown should not be permitted. Mr. and Mrs. Channell indicated that impacts to wells along Variation 12C can be avoided or connected to public water mains. Information about route Variation 12C impacts provided by AES are unsubstantiated. Homeowners within 25' of the construction work space have not received their SSPs. Statements made by AES in their October 16th filing are incorrect.</p> <p>20081027-5031 - Mr. and Mrs. Channell request on-site detailed analysis of areas by impartial party to substantiate claims.</p> <p>20081107-5035 - Mr. and Mrs. Channell saw the letter regarding the new route variations in the eLibrary, but have not received this letter in the mail. They are concerned that landowners that will be affected by the route variations will not receive this information or will not have enough time to submit comments.</p>	Variation 12C

Table P3-1. Summary of FERC's Review of Comment Letters Received in Response to FERC's October 29, 2008 Notice to Landowners Regarding New Route Variations 6B, 10A, 12C, Kirks Mill Variation A, Romansville Road Variation B, 13, 14, and Chesaco Avenue Variation (Continued)			
Name of Commenter	Accession No.	Summary of Comments	Route Variation (See Section 3.3.3)
Richard J. and Victoria S. Channell (Continued)	20081008-5052; 20081021-5048; 20081027-5031; 20081107-5035; 20081120-5015	20081120-5015 - Mr. and Mrs. Channell disagree with the letters submitted by Mr. Roughan (accession number 20081117-5075), Bentley Systems (accession number 20081119-5075) and Donald Knorr (accession number 20081118-5005). The Channell's request that HDD be used for Forested Area B along Variation 12C as it is for Forest Area A. They also state that if there is a concern for the trees and wetland in Forest Area B, the Sunoco route could be followed into Hickory Park.	Variation 12C
Andrew Durkin	20081010-5003; 20081024-5020	<p>20081010-5003- Mr. Durkin indicated that Variations 12A-C will have more impacts than the proposed route. He has also expressed concerns regarding the proximity of the route variations to existing homes, the increased care that must be taken to cross over existing pipelines, the complexity of the waterbody crossings, the increased wetland and forest impacts, reduction in property values, safety concerns, impacts to the Lake Ridge disposal area, residential septic systems, wells, drinking water, and utilities along the route variations. Mr. Durkin has indicated that the proposed route should be selected for construction.</p> <p>20081024-5020 - Mr. Durkin identified the costs associated with extending the existing water main to his property. Mr. Durkin cites concerns with regards to impacts to old trees by the pipeline alternative and water main extension, impacts to his front yard for the water main extension including digging it up, removal of a section of a 200+ year old stone wall and property value. Mr. Durkin also states that the water main extension would require access to public right-of-way (20 foot perpetual easement and 10 foot exclusively for AQUA) and that there would be potential road construction impacts along W. Township Line Road from the water main extension (13 homes, 4 cul-de-sacs, 1 elementary school and 1 business park).</p>	Variation 12A-C

Table P3-1. Summary of FERC's Review of Comment Letters Received in Response to FERC's October 29, 2008 Notice to Landowners Regarding New Route Variations 6B, 10A, 12C, Kirks Mill Variation A, Romansville Road Variation B, 13, 14, and Chesaco Avenue Variation (Continued)			
Name of Commenter	Accession No.	Summary of Comments	Route Variation (See Section 3.3.3)
Theresa R. Gallagher	20081027-5032	Ms. Gallagher cites that if Variation B, Alternative 1 is implemented construction activities and drilling operations would make Evergreen Drive inaccessible and would create noise, vibration, dust and debris. She also cites concerns about impacts to mature trees and associated habitat, storm water runoff on properties that slope away from the road, and current wells and septic systems.	Romansville Road Variations A and B
Martin C. and Herschella K. Reynolds	20081029-0269	Mr. and Mrs. Reynolds do not approve of the original pipeline route and feel that shifting the route to the east would still impact hundreds of feet of hardwood trees. They have proposed a different route variation that they discussed with AES on August 8, 2008.	Romansville Road Variation B
Edward A. Dolor	20081104-5058	Mr. Dolor indicated that the proposed Kirks Mill Variation A would put the pipeline through his property, which is zoned agricultural land with livestock. Mr. Dolor cites concerns with regards to the impact of construction on his livestock. Mr. Dolor also indicated that the area identified as old growth trees has been timbered within the last ten years.	Kirks Mill Variation A
The Inslee Family	20081107-5034	The Inslee Family states that they are concerned about the method that will be used to construct the pipeline. They state that their land is heavily wooded and requests that FERC consider using a method of construction that would minimize surface clearing/disturbance to cross Brandywine Creek and their property to avoid disturbance of their land and the creek. They also request clarification as to how new Variation 14 would be constructed.	Variation 14

Table P3-1. Summary of FERC's Review of Comment Letters Received in Response to FERC's October 29, 2008 Notice to Landowners Regarding New Route Variations 6B, 10A, 12C, Kirks Mill Variation A, Romansville Road Variation B, 13, 14, and Chesaco Avenue Variation (Continued)			
Name of Commenter	Accession No.	Summary of Comments	Route Variation (See Section 3.3.3)
Michael and Bonnie Harrington	20081112-5007	Mr. and Mrs. Harrington have indicated that Variation 6B will have a severe impact on the private forested land that has been zoned for forest conservation in the Mine Branch Road/Whispering Pines community and the wildlife that the inhabits it. They state that Variation 6B will also impact mine branch road and the lawns, driveways and wooded areas on three properties and a well on one of these properties. They would like FERC to consider the proposed route. The residents of Mine Branch Road/Whispering Pines Community are requesting a community meeting with FERC and Mid-Atlantic Express.	Variation 6B
Bruce and Angela Breton	20081112-5051	Mr. and Mrs. Brenton feel that the 21 day comment period for the new route variations is too short. They have expressed concern for the impacts Variation 6B will have to forested areas, streams, wildlife, personal property, utilities and accessibility. The Mine Branch Road/Whispering Pines community is required to maintain conservation buffers and Variation 6B will compromise this. Mr. and Mrs. Brenton are also concerned that the pipeline will be located less than 75 feet from their house and will directly impact their well. They have requested a community meeting with FERC and Mid-Atlantic Express.	Variation 6B
Walter W. Jr. and Deborah B. Simcox	20081113-0023	Mr. and Mrs. Simcox cite that the wells in this area are pocket style water systems, not large underground water systems, that are only 80 to 100 feet deep. During the construction of homes south of Evergreen Lane, silt got into their well and clogged their water filters and they are concerned this project will do the same. Mr. and Mrs. Simcox expressed concern that the route variation will run between 28 to 42 feet from their well and 15 feet from their septic system.	Romansville Road Variations A and B

Table P3-1. Summary of FERC's Review of Comment Letters Received in Response to FERC's October 29, 2008 Notice to Landowners Regarding New Route Variations 6B, 10A, 12C, Kirks Mill Variation A, Romansville Road Variation B, 13, 14, and Chesaco Avenue Variation (Continued)

Name of Commenter	Accession No.	Summary of Comments	Route Variation (See Section 3.3.3)
Mark Halliwell	20081117-0075 and 20081119-0031	<p>Mr. Halliwell states that route Variation 6B would traverse through a forested area, cross power and phone lines and a private driveway that is the only ingress and egress for residents on Mine Branch Road. Mr. Halliwell also states that this area of Harford County is a wildlife refuge surrounded by farms. Mr. Halliwell states that cutting down a swath of 100 foot wide trees across his front yard would create an eyesore and would cause soil erosion, which would wash into the existing fish laden pond. With regard to Mine Branch Road, Mr. Halliwell states that Mine Branch Road is a tree lined, one lane (approximately 12 feet in width) road with gulleys on both sides. Further, he states that in the event of an emergency, emergency personnel would not be able to provide timely assistance. Mr. Halliwell would prefer the pipeline be routed through his backyard within the existing right-of-way as no trees would be required to be removed, no roadway would be blocked, no ponds polluted and no soil erosion or blight would occur. Finally, Mr. Halliwell notes that the original route would only impact the first house at St. Ann's at a distance of 75 feet as the rest of the houses sit back 300 feet from the right-of-way and that the original route would not require the removal of any trees.</p>	Variation 6B

Table P3-1. Summary of FERC's Review of Comment Letters Received in Response to FERC's October 29, 2008 Notice to Landowners Regarding New Route Variations 6B, 10A, 12C, Kirks Mill Variation A, Romansville Road Variation B, 13, 14, and Chesaco Avenue Variation (Continued)			
Name of Commenter	Accession No.	Summary of Comments	Route Variation (See Section 3.3.3)
Thomas R. and Jennie R. McQueen	20081117-0076	Mr. and Mrs. McQueen state that there is sufficient open land to reconfigure a variation that would not affect the lands shown in route Variation 13. They state that there are existing pipeline rights-of-way on their property which do not affect tillable ground or housing, which should be used (pipeline and/or rights-of-way). Mr. and Mrs. McQueen state that they invested thousands of dollars (subdivision and perc test expenses) in the building lots along Ewing Road that would be impacted by route Variation 13 and that one of the lots would be rendered useless and unsuitable for building a home as a result of route Variation 13. They further state that the land behind the farm house is not appropriate as that land is enrolled in the farm preservation plan, has good soil for farming, and is close to the farm outbuildings and home potentially impacting underground fuel tanks. Finally, Mr. and Mrs. McQueen suggest that the pipeline could be routed near the existing pipeline in front of the barn and house pending Bog Turtle habitat.	Variation 13
Richard W. Hesselbacher, Downing Forge Home Owners Association	20081117-0079	Mr. Hesselbacher notes that route Variation 10A at approximate MP80.70 would be proximate to an existing pipeline. He states that the installation of the previous pipeline(s) resulted in defoliation and that soils were not adequately stabilized, which caused flooding and silt damage to Downing Forge homes to the south. Mr. Hesselbacher requested assurance and a documented plan that would guarantee that this problem would not happen again. Further Mr. Hesselbacher notes that a portion of route Variation 10A, approximately 300 feet from MP80.70 would traverse a forested area with steep slopes and a small stream on Downing Forge property. He states that Downing Forge would require a site-specific plan for the stream and a documented plan that would identify measures to minimize impacts to woodlands on the slope and re-stabilization measures.	Variation 10A

Table P3-1. Summary of FERC's Review of Comment Letters Received in Response to FERC's October 29, 2008 Notice to Landowners Regarding New Route Variations 6B, 10A, 12C, Kirks Mill Variation A, Romansville Road Variation B, 13, 14, and Chesaco Avenue Variation (Continued)			
Name of Commenter	Accession No.	Summary of Comments	Route Variation (See Section 3.3.3)
Lorenzo B. Fazio	20081117-5033	Mr. Fazio states that route Variation 13 would avoid wetland #859WA3 (MP63.69 to 64.19), however, the Columbia Gas pipeline goes through this wetland and the wetland has been restored in accordance with FERC's Plan and Procedures and that restoration measures implemented have ensured the habitat is suitable for Bog Turtles. Mr. Fazio further states that an unnamed/unnumbered wetlands exists on his property that has been found to contain the ideal habitat to support Bog Turtles. He states that if a route variation must be identified, he suggests an alternate route variation that starts at and follows route Variation 13 at MP63.69 to the south east corner of lot #859.1, proceeds straight across Ewing Road, enters the Neil King farm, which is preserved under a conservation easement, parallel the Neil King farm property line to a point past the commenter's property (lot #8864), then turn east/south-east paralleling the Neil King property line and intersect the existing pipeline at approximate MP64.4. Mr. Fazio states that by routing the pipeline on preserved land, no wetlands or housing lots would be crossed. Further, he states that this alternate route would avoid wetland #859WA3, the unnamed/unnumbered wetland on his property and all building lots.	Variation 13
Kenneth L. Steiner	20081117-5046	Mr. Steiner states that Kirks Mill Variation A would disrupt the existing ground surface and underground water flow process including a change to the path and quantity of water flowing to the existing detention basin, the areas downstream of the water detention basin, and an increase in soil erosion. He also states that the pipeline could have a negative impact on his farmland. Additionally, Mr. Steiner states that the original route should be safe for the existing historical structures. He also states that the structure closest to the original route (approximately 100 to 150 feet) is not historic as only the foundation is original and its construction started a year after the original pipeline route was publicly announced. Mr. Steiner states that the historic structures along the original path are located beyond the safe distance from the pipeline (approximately 300 to 500 feet).	Kirks Mill Variation A

Table P3-1. Summary of FERC's Review of Comment Letters Received in Response to FERC's October 29, 2008 Notice to Landowners Regarding New Route Variations 6B, 10A, 12C, Kirks Mill Variation A, Romansville Road Variation B, 13, 14, and Chesaco Avenue Variation (Continued)			
Name of Commenter	Accession No.	Summary of Comments	Route Variation (See Section 3.3.3)
John J. Roughan, Jr., Upper Uwchlan Township, Township Manager	20081117-5075	Upper Uwchlan Township Manager, Mr. John Roughan states that the township opposes any re-route scenarios, especially route Variation 12C, which would impact the Lakeridge Wastewater Disposal Field. Township Manager Roughan states that the loss of any disposal capacity would have, "serious, long-term consequences for those residences serviced by this facility, which could result in rendering the residences uninhabitable due to lack of wastewater treatment/disposal availability."	All re-routes; Variation 12C
The Hankin Group (Conestoga-Rovers & Associates)	20081118-5005	Conestoga-Rovers & Associates (CRA) submitted this letter on behalf of the Hankin Group. The Hankin Group is opposed to Variation 12C because of the potential impacts to the wastewater treatment plant, mature forest, residential lands, and industrial/commercial development. CRA and the Hankin Group note that the proposed route is consistent with the FERC siting requirements and would be located along an existing right-of-way. CRA and the Hankin Group note that Variation 12C would have impacts on wetlands, water resources, wildlife, native vegetation, and recreation.	Variation 12C
Carrie L. Whiteside	20081118-5076	Ms. Whiteside states that there is sufficient open land to reconfigure a variation that would not affect the lands shown in route Variation 13. She states that there are existing pipeline rights-of-way that should be used (pipeline and/or rights-of-way). Ms. Whiteside further states that thousands of dollars for subdivision and perc tests have been invested in the building lots along Ewing Road and that one of the lots would be rendered useless and unsuitable for building a home as a result of route Variation 13. Finally, Ms. Whiteside suggests that the pipeline could be routed in front of her grandparent's house and barn, near the existing pipeline, pending Bog Turtle habitat.	Variation 13

Table P3-1. Summary of FERC's Review of Comment Letters Received in Response to FERC's October 29, 2008 Notice to Landowners Regarding New Route Variations 6B, 10A, 12C, Kirks Mill Variation A, Romansville Road Variation B, 13, 14, and Chesaco Avenue Variation (Continued)			
Name of Commenter	Accession No.	Summary of Comments	Route Variation (See Section 3.3.3)
Franklin L. and Jean Ann Piper	20081119-0029	Mr. and Mrs. Piper are concerned that the Romansville Road Variation B would impact the development of their land causing financial loss and would affect two wells that supply water to the property.	Romansville Road Variation B
The Residents of the Mine Branch Road/Whispering Pines Community	200081119-0030	The residents of the Whispering Pines Community are concerned that Variation 6B would impact their properties by creating a new deforested right-of-way; impact wildlife including the endangered Bog Turtle; and limit access to their homes by using the common driveway for access. They believe that they should be given the same consideration as the St. Anne's community and requested a community meeting with FERC and Mid-Atlantic Express.	Variation 6B
Nancy and Cliff Pollack	20081119-0311	Mr. and Mrs. Pollack are concerned about impacts to their property by the proposed pipeline. It will impact mature trees and limit access to their home. Also, they are opposed to the MLBV that is proposed for the adjacent property. They request that they be provided a site specific plan for their property and that FERC strongly considers Variation 12C.	Variation 12C
Matthew J. and Deborah W. Helak	20081119-5027	Mr. and Mrs. Helak state that the site-specific plan drawings for their property are not to scale, their residence falls within 15 feet of the proposed work space, their children's swing set fall within the construction workspace, the pipeline would cross the edge of a wetland and a designated stream, wetland vegetation including at least 12 mature trees would need to be removed, there are already 3 existing pipelines that run through their property that may need to be crossed, and the pipeline would only partially use existing pipeline right-of-ways on their property requiring negotiation of a new right-of-way. They also state that AES lacks communication with landowners and they agree with the comments made by the Channell's (accession number 20081021-5048) and has asked that FERC take into consideration the impacts to homeowners, recreational lands and wetlands in evaluation of Variation 12C.	Variation 12C

Table P3-1. Summary of FERC's Review of Comment Letters Received in Response to FERC's October 29, 2008 Notice to Landowners Regarding New Route Variations 6B, 10A, 12C, Kirks Mill Variation A, Romansville Road Variation B, 13, 14, and Chesaco Avenue Variation (Continued)			
Name of Commenter	Accession No.	Summary of Comments	Route Variation (See Section 3.3.3)
Bentley Systems Incorporated	20081119-5075	Bentley Systems Incorporated states that Variation 12C will negatively impact the environment and business growth. Variation 12C runs through a undeveloped lot on Bentley Systems property, on which Bentley is considering to build an office building to meet their employee growth needs. If the pipeline is built through this undeveloped lot, a mandatory set back would be required for the new office building which would affect the grading of the property and reduce the available land designated for the parking lot.	Variation 12C
Glenn and Lisa Doering	20081119-5115	Mr. and Mrs. Doering state that Variation 6B has the same if not greater environmental impacts as Variation 6A. Variation 6B would impact a 100 foot strip of forest and create a second pipeline right-of-way on two different properties and destroy the front yard of another. They state that Variation 6 would have fewer environmental impacts than Variations 6A and 6B since it crosses open field and less forested areas. They also state that the original proposed route should be selected since it would have fewer impacts than the variations.	Variation 6B
Theresa R. Gallagher	20081120-5013	Ms. Gallagher reiterates her previously submitted letter (accession number 20081027-5032) stating that the Romansville Road Variation A would impact mature vegetation, wildlife habitat, drinking wells, and septic systems and increase stormwater runoff. She states that Variation B would eliminate many of these impacts since it crosses through rural land. Ms. Gallagher requests that FERC ensure that all environmental issues, including environmental justice, are resolved and all appropriate permits are obtained before final project approval is granted.	Romansville Road Variation B
Lisa and Joseph K. Gallick	20081120-5016	Mr. and Mrs. Gallick support Variation 12C and express concern for their property value and children's safety if the original proposed route is selected. They also state that their underground irrigation system will have to be reconstructed if the original proposed route is selected.	Variation 12C

Table P3-1. Summary of FERC's Review of Comment Letters Received in Response to FERC's October 29, 2008 Notice to Landowners Regarding New Route Variations 6B, 10A, 12C, Kirks Mill Variation A, Romansville Road Variation B, 13, 14, and Chesaco Avenue Variation (Continued)			
Name of Commenter	Accession No.	Summary of Comments	Route Variation (See Section 3.3.3)
Eric Newman	20081120-5026 and 20081120-5031	Mr. Newman requests that the original proposed route be selected. Mr. Newman states that Variation 12C, as described, could be inaccurate and requests that a site specific drawing be provided so that he can accurately assess the impacts on his property. Variation 12C appears to bisect his septic field, runs within 25 feet of his house and may impact his well. He also discusses the costs associated with hooking up to the public water utilities.	Variation 12C
Kathleen and George Marker	20081121-0027	Mr. and Mrs. Marker state that the Kirks Mill Variation A will affect their fence line, property value, and could impact their septic system and pool. They state that their horses would need to be boarded at a separate facility during construction, which would create a financial burden. The Marker's also state that construction activities would disturb an elderly family member that lives in their house. The Marker's supports the original proposed route and disagree that it would impact historic buildings or old growth trees as stated since there is already an existing pipeline along this route.	Kirks Mill Variation A
Lloyd and Christine St. Ours	20081121-0030	Mr. and Mrs. St. Ours state that Variation 6B will have disastrous impacts on the environment, their community, and landowners. The St. Ours state that Variation 6B would require the removal of thousands of trees within a forest conservation area and compromise the conservation buffers that the community is required to maintain. They also state that their community has a single lane access road which would be impacted if Variation 6B is constructed, presenting a safety issue. The St. Ours request a community meeting with FERC, Mid-Atlantic Express and the residences of Mine Branch Road/Whispering Pines community to discuss these impacts.	Variation 6B
Peter Kahl and Family	20081121-0034	Mr. Kahl states that his property on Mine Branch Road is designated Land Preservation by Harford County. He also stated that route Variation 6B would have impacts on wildlife, forestry and people. Mr. Kahl states that Variation 6B crosses through bog turtle habitat and requests a meeting with FERC and Mid-Atlantic Express to develop another route that would reduce the impacts discussed above.	Variation 6B

Table P3-1. Summary of FERC's Review of Comment Letters Received in Response to FERC's October 29, 2008 Notice to Landowners Regarding New Route Variations 6B, 10A, 12C, Kirks Mill Variation A, Romansville Road Variation B, 13, 14, and Chesaco Avenue Variation (Continued)			
Name of Commenter	Accession No.	Summary of Comments	Route Variation (See Section 3.3.3)
Kelly and Leah Jubb	20081121-0137	The Jubb's state that Variation 6B will cause soil erosion behind their barn, contaminate springs that supply drinking water for their livestock, and impact their existing fish-stocked pond approved by soil conservation for their livestock, which ultimately drains into Deer Creek and the Chesapeake Bay. The Jubb's note that they are installing a geo-thermal ground loop system for their heating and air-condition on the back part of their property that would potentially be impacted by construction. They also state that an existing hedge row provides privacy screening and a noise buffer to Route 543 as well as habitat for several species of wildlife. The Jubb's request an opportunity for fair negotiations and the opportunity to express their concerns, similar to that which was afforded the St. Anne's community.	Variation 6B