



Federal Energy
Regulatory
Commission

Office of
Energy
Projects

November 2008

FERC/EIS-0225D

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Table of Contents



South Feather Power Project FERC Project No. 2088-068, California

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street N.E.
Washington, DC 20426

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER SHEET	iii
FOREWORD.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	iiix
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	xv
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xvii
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1 APPLICATION.....	1-1
1.2 PURPOSE OF ACTION AND NEED FOR POWER.....	1-1
1.2.1 Purpose of Action.....	1-1
1.2.2 Need for Power.....	1-3
1.3 STATUTORY AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	1-3
1.3.1 Federal Power Act	1-3
1.3.1.1 Section 18 Fishway Prescriptions.....	1-3
1.3.1.2 Section 4(e) Conditions	1-4
1.3.1.3 Alternative Conditions under the Energy Policy Act of 2005	1-5
1.3.1.4 Section 10(j) Recommendations.....	1-5
1.3.2 Clean Water Act	1-5
1.3.3 Endangered Species Act.....	1-5
1.3.4 Coastal Zone Management Act	1-6
1.3.5 National Historic Preservation Act.....	1-6
1.3.6 California Environmental Quality Act	1-6
1.4 PUBLIC REVIEW AND CONSULTATION.....	1-8
1.4.1 Scoping	1-8
1.4.2 Interventions	1-9
1.4.3 Comments on License Application	1-10
2.0 PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE.....	2-1
2.1.1 Existing Project Facilities.....	2-1
2.1.2 Existing Project Operation	2-9
2.1.3 Existing Environmental Measures.....	2-10
2.2 APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL.....	2-11
2.2.1 Proposed Project Facilities	2-11

2.2.2	Project Safety.....	2-11
2.2.3	Proposed Project Operation.....	2-11
2.2.4	Proposed Environmental Measures.....	2-11
2.2.5	Modifications to the Applicant's Proposal—Mandatory Conditions	2-15
	2.2.5.1 Water Quality Certification	2-15
	2.2.5.2 Section 18 Fishway Prescriptions.....	2-15
	2.2.5.3 Section 4(e) Federal Land Management Conditions .	2-15
	2.2.5.4 Alternative 4(e) Conditions Pursuant to the Energy Policy Act of 2005	2-18
2.3	STAFF ALTERNATIVE	2-18
2.4	2.4 Staff Alternative with Mandatory Conditions	2-22
2.4	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT ELIMINATED FROM FURTHER ANALYSIS	2-23
	2.4.1 Issuing a Non-Power License.....	2-23
	2.4.2 Federal Government Takeover of the Project	2-23
	2.4.3 Project Retirement.....	2-23
3.0	ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS.....	3-1
3.1	GENERAL SETTING.....	3-1
3.2	SCOPE OF CUMULATIVE EFFECTS ANALYSIS	3-2
	3.2.1 Geographic Scope.....	3-2
	3.2.2 Temporal Scope.....	3-2
3.3	PROPOSED ACTION AND ACTION ALTERNATIVES	3-3
	3.3.1 Geology and Soils.....	3-3
	3.3.1.1 Affected Environment.....	3-3
	3.3.1.2 Environmental Effects	3-5
	3.3.2 Aquatic Resources	3-10
	3.3.2.1 Affected Environment.....	3-10
	3.3.2.2 Environmental Effects	3-35
	3.3.2.3 Cumulative Effects	3-95
	3.3.3 Terrestrial Resources	3-96
	3.3.3.1 Affected Environment.....	3-96
	3.3.3.2 Environmental Effects	3-101
	3.3.4 Threatened and Endangered Species	3-129
	3.3.4.1 Affected Environment.....	3-129
	3.3.4.2 Environmental Effects	3-130
	3.3.5 Recreation Resources	3-130
	3.3.5.1 Affected Environment.....	3-130
	3.3.5.2 Environmental Effects	3-149
	3.3.6 Cultural Resources.....	3-164
	3.3.6.1 Affected Environment.....	3-164
	3.3.6.2 Environmental Effects	3-174

3.3.7	Land Use and Aesthetic Resources	3-176
3.3.7.1	Affected Environment.....	3-176
3.3.7.2	Environmental Effects	3-182
3.4	NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE	3-188
4.0	DEVELOPMENTAL ANALYSIS	4-1
4.1	POWER AND ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF THE PROJECTS	4-1
4.1.1	Economic Assumptions	4-1
4.1.2	Current Annual Costs and Future Capital Costs under the No-action Alternative	4-2
4.2	COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES.....	4-3
4.3	COST OF ENVIRONMENTAL MEASURES	4-6
4.3.1	Cost of Environmental Measures for the South Feather Power Project.....	4-6
4.3.2	Effect of Environmental Measures on Energy Generation	4-8
5.0	STAFF'S CONCLUSIONS	5-1
5.1	COMPARISON OF EFFECTS OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES	5-1
5.2	COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AND RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE	5-4
5.2.1	Discussion of Key Issues.....	5-11
5.3	UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE EFFECTS.....	5-36
5.4	SUMMARY OF SECTION 10(J) RECOMMENDATIONS AND 4(E) CONDITIONS.....	5-36
5.4.1	Recommendations of Fish and Wildlife Agencies	5-36
5.4.2	Forest Service 4(e) Conditions	5-43
5.5	CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE PLANS.....	5-49
6.0	LITERATURE CITED.....	6-1
7.0	LIST OF PREPARERS	7-1
8.0	LIST OF RECIPIENTS	8-1
APPENDIX A— SOUTHERN FEATHER POWER PROJECT MITIGATION AND MONITORING SUMMARY	A-1	
APPENDIX B— CAPITAL AND ANNUAL COSTS OF MEASURES FOR THE SOUTH FORK POWER PROJECT	B-1	

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1.	South Feather Power Project, location map	1-2
Figure 2-1.	South Feather Power Project, system map	2-2
Figure 3-1.	Median daily reservoir storage for South Fork Power Project reservoirs, 1973 through 2001	3-13
Figure 3-2.	Little Grass Valley reservoir water surface elevations for 1973 through 2000.....	3-14
Figure 3-3.	Sly Creek reservoir water surface elevations for 1973 through 2000.....	3-15
Figure 3-4.	Seasonal temperature trends by river mile in Lost Creek in 2004	3-61
Figure 3-5.	Seasonal temperature trends by river mile in Lost Creek in 2005.	3-62
Figure 3-6.	Modeled water temperatures in the Forbestown reach on June 25 under a range of release flows.....	3-64
Figure 3-7.	Simulated water surface elevations in Little Grass Valley reservoir from 1973 to 2000 under current operation, Forest Service's 4(e) prescribed minimum flows, and South Feather's alternative 4(e) proposed flows	3-65
Figure 3-8.	Simulated surface area of Little Grass Valley reservoir from 1973 to 2000 under current operation, Forest Service's 4(e) prescribed minimum flows, and South Feather's alternative 4(e) proposed flows ...	3-67
Figure 3-9.	Oroville Facilities flow diagram	3-75
Figure 3-10.	Daily average, maximum, and minimum water temperatures (°C) collected at Kelly Ridge powerhouse in 2007.....	3-78
Figure 3-11.	Daily average temperatures (°C) collected at locations from the inlet of Miners Ranch conduit downstream through Kelly Ridge powerhouse in 2007.....	3-79
Figure 3-12.	Mean daily water temperatures measured in 2005 in the Little Grass Valley dam reach and temperature preference ranges identified by Cal Fish & Game	3-89
Figure 3-13.	Recreation sites at Little Grass Valley reservoir recreation area	3-132
Figure 3-14.	Recreation sites at Sly Creek reservoir recreation area.....	3-136

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1.	Major statutory and regulatory requirements for the South Feather Power Project	1-4
Table 3-1.	Reservoir and diversion pool characteristics.....	3-12
Table 3-2.	Estimated unregulated streamflows below Little Grass Valley dam at USGS gage no. 11395030	3-16
Table 3-3.	Regulated streamflows below Little Grass Valley dam at USGS gage no. 11395030	3-17
Table 3-4.	Estimated unregulated streamflows below Slate Creek diversion dam at USGS gage no. 11443300	3-18
Table 3-5.	Regulated streamflows below Slate Creek diversion dam at USGS gage	3-19
Table 3-6.	Estimated unregulated streamflows below Lost Creek dam at gage.....	3-20
Table 3-7.	Regulated streamflows below Lost Creek dam at gage	3-21
Table 3-8.	Water quality objectives to support designated uses in SFFR in the project area	3-24
Table 3-9.	Fish species documented in the South Feather Power Project reservoirs	3-30
Table 3-10.	Minimum flows proposed, specified, or recommended for the Little Grass Valley dam reach.....	3-37
Table 3-11.	Minimum flows proposed, specified, or recommended for South Fork diversion dam reach	3-38
Table 3-12.	Minimum flows proposed, specified, or recommended for Slate Creek diversion dam reach.....	3-39
Table 3-13.	Minimum flows proposed, specified, or recommended for Forbestown diversion dam reach.....	3-40
Table 3-14.	Minimum flows proposed, specified, or recommended for Lost Creek dam reach.....	3-41
Table 3-15.	Minimum flows proposed by South Feather in its final license application, showing flows that were adjusted (in parentheses) to reflect operational and hydrological constraints or to smooth out minor variations.....	3-44
Table 3-16.	Percent of maximum WUA for adult rainbow trout under five flow regimes in the Little Grass Valley dam reach	3-50
Table 3-17.	Percent of maximum WUA for adult rainbow trout under five flow regimes in the South Fork diversion dam reach.....	3-51
Table 3-18.	Percent of maximum WUA for adult rainbow trout under five flow regimes in the Slate Creek diversion dam reach	3-52
Table 3-19.	Percent of maximum WUA for adult rainbow trout under five flow regimes in the Forbestown diversion dam reach.....	3-53
Table 3-20.	Percent of maximum WUA for adult rainbow trout under five flow regimes in the Lost Creek dam reach	3-54

Table 3-21.	Percent of unregulated WUA for FS 4(e) and South Feather's alternative 4(e) flows in the Little Grass Valley dam reach.....	3-56
Table 3-22.	Percent of unregulated WUA for FS 4(e) and South Feather's alternative 4(e) flows in the South Fork diversion dam reach	3-57
Table 3-23.	Percent of unregulated WUA for FS 4(e) and South Feather's alternative 4(e) flows in the Forbestown diversion dam reach	3-58
Table 3-24.	Percent of maximum WUA for adult trout provided by FS 4(e) and South Feather's alternative 4(e) flows for the Lost Creek dam reach.....	3-59
Table 3-25.	Percent of maximum WUA for trout spawning provided by FS 4(e) and South Feather's alternative 4(e) flows for the Lost Creek dam reach	3-60
Table 3-26.	Comparison of Little Grass Valley drawdown from normal full pool (feet) for current operation, Cal Fish & Game's 10(j) minimum flows, Forest Service's 4(e) minimum flows, and South Feather's alternative 4(e) minimum flows (adopted into staff alternative), in below normal water years.....	3-69
Table 3-27.	Comparison of Little Grass Valley drawdown from normal full pool (feet) for current operation, Cal Fish & Game's 10(j) minimum flows, Forest Service's 4(e) minimum flows, and South Feather's alternative 4(e) minimum flows (adopted into staff alternative), in dry water years	3-69
Table 3-28.	Maximum temperature (°F) of water to be released from the Kelly Ridge powerhouse recommended by DWR, Cal Fish & Game, NMFS, and SWC/MWD	3-77
Table 3-29.	Trout biomass in the SFFR reaches with comparisons to the SFFR reference reach	3-83
Table 3-30.	Hardhead population data summary from the SFFR above Ponderosa reservoir (SFFR 2.1) in 1993, 2004, and 2005	3-84
Table 3-31.	Trout biomass in the Lost Creek dam reach with comparisons to the Lost Creek reference site	3-87
Table 3-32.	Field-mapped riparian vegetation types at eight intensive study sites and at the Slate Creek diversion dam impoundment, based on the Potter (2003) classification.....	3-113
Table 3-33.	Summary of incidental observations of non-native species designated as noxious weeds.....	3-115
Table 3-34.	Summary of special-status plant occurrences located in 2004.....	3-120
Table 3-35.	Special-status wildlife species with documented occurrences in the project area	3-122
Table 3-36.	Roosting and foraging behavior summary of special-status bat species potentially occurring in the study area.....	3-127
Table 3-37.	Recreation facilities at the Little Grass Valley reservoir recreation area	3-133
Table 3-38.	Recreation facilities at the Sly Creek reservoir recreation area	3-137

Table 3-39.	2005 Peak season day-use estimates in recreation days for the day-use facilities at Little Grass Valley and Sly Creek reservoir recreation areas.....	3-139
Table 3-40.	Annual use and capacities at campgrounds.....	3-140
Table 3-41.	Average, minimum, and maximum vehicles at one time observed by day type for day-use facilities at Little Grass Valley reservoir recreation area, summer 2005	3-141
Table 3-42.	Average, minimum, and maximum vehicles at one time observed by day type for day-use facilities at Sly Creek reservoir recreation area, summer 2005	3-142
Table 3-43.	Whitewater boating runs on the North and Middle forks of the Feather River, as identified by Holbeck and Stanley, 1998	3-144
Table 3-44.	Whitewater boating runs on the North Fork of the Yuba River, as identified by Holbeck and Stanley, 1998	3-146
Table 3-45.	Boatable and optimum flow ranges for project reaches.....	3-147
Table 3-46.	Incidence and period of Upper Pancake Bay inundation (5,040 through 5,045.5 feet msl): Below normal and dry water years.....	3-160
Table 3-47.	Archaeological and historical resources within the South Feather Power Project APE.....	3-168
Table 3-48.	Land ownership within the project boundary.....	3-177
Table 3-49.	Plumas National Forest management goals as described in the Plumas National Forest LRMP.	3-177
Table 4-1.	Staff assumptions for economic analysis of the South Feather Power Project.....	4-1
Table 4-2.	Summary of current annual costs and future costs under the no-action alternative for the South Feather Power Project.....	4-2
Table 4-3.	Summary of annual net benefits for the no-action, proposed action, staff alternative, and staff alternative with mandatory conditions for the South Feather Power Project	4-4
Table 4-4.	Summary of annualized capital and O&M costs for measures included in the proposed action and proposed action with staff modifications for the South Feather Power Project	4-7
Table 4-5.	Summary of the effect of environmental measures on energy for the no-action, proposed action, staff alternative, and staff alternative with mandatory conditions for the South Feather Power Project	4-9
Table 5-1.	Analysis of fish and wildlife agency section 10(j) recommendations for the South Feather Power Project.	5-37
Table 5-2.	Forest Service preliminary 4(e) conditions for the South Feather Power Project	5-44

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

APE	area of potential effects
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
°C	degrees Celsius
Cal Fish & Game	California Department of Fish and Game
CCC	Criterion Continuous Concentrations
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
cfs	cubic feet per second
Commission	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Cal-IPC	California Invasive Plant Council
CNDDDB	California Natural Diversity Database
CTR	California Toxics Rule
CWA	Clean Water Act
CZMA	Coastal Zone Management Act
DO	dissolved oxygen
DWR	California Department of Water Resources
EIR	environmental impact report
EIS	environmental impact statement
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
°F	degrees Fahrenheit
feet msl	feet above mean sea level
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Forest Service	U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service
FPA	Federal Power Act
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
FYLF	foothill yellow-legged frog
HPMP	Heritage (Historic) Properties Management Plan
kV	kilovolt
kVA	kilovolt-ampere
LWD	large woody debris
MAF	million acre feet
MGD	million gallons per day
MW	megawatt
MWh	megawatt-hours
National Register	National Register of Historic Places
NERC	North American Electricity Reliability Corporation
NMFS	U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service
OHV	off-highway vehicle
PAC	protected activity center
South Feather	South Feather Water and Power Agency

SFFR	South Fork Feather River
Water Board	State Water Resources Control Board
WECC	Western Electricity Coordinating Council
WUA	weighted usable area
SWC/MWD	State Water Contractors and Metropolitan Water District