TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 PURPOSE OF ACTION AND NEED FOR POWER 1-1

1.1 PURPOSE OF ACTION 1-1
1.2 NEED FOR POWER 1-3
1.3 INTERVENTIONS 1-7
1.4 SCOPING PROCESS 1-8
1.5 AGENCY CONSULTATIONS 1-9
1.6 ALTERNATIVES TO AGENCY MANDATORY CONDITIONS 1-10

2.0 PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES 2-1

2.1 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE 2-1
2.1.1 General Description of the Existing Facilities and Operations 2-1
2.1.2 Post Falls Project 2-2
2.1.3 Spokane River Developments 2-3
2.1.3.1 Upper Falls Development 2-3
2.1.3.2 Monroe Street Development 2-4
2.1.3.3 Nine Mile Development 2-4
2.1.3.4 Long Lake Development 2-5
2.1.4 Current Project Operations 2-6
2.1.4.1 Post Falls Project 2-7
2.1.4.2 Upper Falls Development 2-8
2.1.4.3 Monroe Street Development 2-8
2.1.4.4 Nine Mile Development 2-8
2.1.4.5 Long Lake Development 2-9
2.1.4.6 Flood Control Operations 2-9
2.1.4.7 Fishery Management Operations 2-10
2.1.4.8 Project Safety 2-10
2.1.5 Current Environmental Measures 2-11
2.2 PROPOSED ACTION – APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL 2-13
2.2.1 Project Facilities 2-13
2.2.2 Project Boundary 2-14
2.2.3 Project Operations 2-14
2.2.4 Project Environmental Measures 2-15
2.3 MODIFICATIONS TO THE PROPOSED ACTION 2-39
2.3.1 Staff's Modification to the Proposed Action 2-39
2.3.2 Section 18 Fishway Prescriptions 2-39

2.3.3 Section 4(e) Conditions 2-39
2.3.4 Section 401 Water Quality Certificate Conditions 2-40
2.3.5 Section 10(j) Recommendations 2-40
2.3.6 Avista Alternative Section 4(e) Conditions under the Energy Policy Act of 2005 2-40
2.4 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT ELIMINATED FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION 2-40
2.4.1 Federal Takeover 2-40
2.4.2 Issuance of a Nonpower License 2-41
2.4.3 Retirement of the Projects 2-41
2.4.4 Natural Hydrograph Alternative 2-42

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS 3-1

3.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE BASIN 3-1
3.2 CUMULATIVELY AFFECTED RESOURCES 3-2
3.2.1 Geographic Scope 3-2
3.2.1.1 Water Quantity 3-3
3.2.1.2 Water Quality 3-3
3.2.1.3 Sediment Supply and Transport 3-4
3.2.1.4 Aquatic Resources 3-5
3.2.1.5 Terrestrial Resources 3-6
3.2.1.6 Recreational Resources 3-6
3.2.1.7 Cultural Resources 3-7
3.2.2 Temporal Scope 3-7
3.3 PROPOSED ACTION AND ACTION ALTERNATIVES 3-8
3.3.1 Geology and Soils 3-8
3.3.1.1 Affected Environment 3-8
3.3.1.1.1 Geology 3-8
3.3.1.1.2 Soils 3-12
3.3.1.1.3 Existing Geologic Hazards 3-15
3.3.1.1.4 Sediment Supply and Transport 3-16
3.3.1.1.5 Erosion 3-24
3.3.1.1.6 Turbidity 3-29
3.3.1.1.7 Hazardous Materials 3-30
3.3.1.2 Environmental Consequences 3-31
3.3.1.2.1 Effects of Lake Level Management 3-31
3.3.1.2.2 Project Flow Releases 3-38
3.3.1.2.3 Effects of Sediment Transport 3-40
3.3.1.2.4 Effects of Erosion 3-45
3.3.1.2.5 Effects of Turbidity 3-50

3.3.1.2.6 Secondary Effects of Environmental Measures 3-51
3.3.1.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-53
3.3.1.4 Cumulative Effects 3-53
3.3.2 Water Quantity 3-53
3.3.2.1 Affected Environment 3-53
3.3.2.1.1 Surface Water 3-53
3.3.2.1.2 Groundwater 3-74
3.3.2.1.3 Water Rights 3-79
3.3.2.2 Environmental Consequences 3-80
3.3.2.2.1 Lake Level Management and Flow Releases 3-80
3.3.2.2.2 Groundwater 3-91
3.3.2.2.3 Water Rights 3-92
3.3.2.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-93
3.3.2.4 Cumulative Effects 3-93
3.3.3 Water Quality 3-93
3.3.3.1 Affected Environment 3-93
3.3.3.1.1 Water Quality Standards 3-94
3.3.3.1.2 Temperature 3-101
3.3.3.1.3 Biological Productivity and Related Water Quality Parameters 3-107
3.3.3.1.4 Metals 3-111
3.3.3.1.5 Total Dissolved Gas 3-115
3.3.3.2 Environmental Consequences 3-118
3.3.3.2.1 Effects of Lake Level Management on Water Quality 3-118
3.3.3.2.2 Effect of Project Flow Releases on Temperature 3-124
3.3.3.2.3 Effect of Flows on Biological Productivity, DO, and Other Water Quality Parameters 3-130
3.3.3.2.4 Metals 3-134
3.3.3.2.5 Total Dissolved Gas 3-134
3.3.3.2.6 Water Quality Monitoring 3-139
3.3.3.2.7 Secondary Effects of Proposed Measures on Water Quality 3-142
3.3.3.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-143
3.3.3.4 Cumulative Effects 3-144
3.3.4 Aquatic Resources 3-146
3.3.4.1 Affected Environment 3-147

3.3.4.1.1 Coeur d'Alene Lake Basin 3-147
3.3.4.1.2 Post Falls Dam..... 3-153
3.3.4.1.3 Upper Falls and Monroe Street Dams..... 3-155
3.3.4.1.4 Nine Mile Dam..... 3-157
3.3.4.1.5 Long Lake Dam..... 3-158
3.3.4.2 Environmental Consequences..... 3-161
3.3.4.2.1 Project Ramping and Potential Fish Stranding..... 3-161
3.3.4.2.2 Fish Passage and Entrainment..... 3-176
3.3.4.2.3 Aquatic Habitat Alteration 3-183
3.3.4.2.4 Other Aquatic Resource Measures..... 3-194
3.3.4.2.5 Secondary Effects of Proposed Measures..... 3-221
3.3.4.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-222
3.3.4.4 Cumulative Effects 3-224
3.3.5 Terrestrial Resources 3-225
3.3.5.1 Affected Environment..... 3-225
3.3.5.1.1 Plant Communities 3-226
3.3.5.1.2 Plant Species of Special Concern... 3-231
3.3.5.1.3 Invasive Non-native Plant Species..... 3-235
3.3.5.1.4 Wildlife Species 3-236
3.3.5.1.5 Special Status Wildlife Species..... 3-238
3.3.5.1.6 Contaminant Levels in Wildlife 3-240
3.3.5.2 Environmental Consequences..... 3-241
3.3.5.2.1 Project Operations 3-241
3.3.5.2.2 Plant Communities and Wetlands..... 3-244
3.3.5.2.3 Plant Species of Special Concern... 3-252
3.3.5.2.4 Invasive Non-native Plant Species..... 3-255
3.3.5.2.5 Wildlife Species and Habitat..... 3-261
3.3.5.2.6 Special-Status Wildlife Species 3-264
3.3.5.2.7 Secondary Effects of Proposed Measures..... 3-266
3.3.5.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-267
3.3.5.4 Cumulative Effects 3-267
3.3.6 Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Species.... 3-268
3.3.6.1 Affected Environment..... 3-268
3.3.6.1.1 Bull Trout 3-268

3.3.6.1.2 Water Howellia 3-273
3.3.6.1.3 Ute Ladies'-tresses 3-273
3.3.6.1.4 Spalding's Catchfly 3-273
3.3.6.1.5 Gray Wolf 3-274
3.3.6.1.6 Bald Eagle 3-274
3.3.6.2 Environmental Consequences 3-275
3.3.6.2.1 Bull Trout 3-275
3.3.6.2.2 Water Howellia 3-276
3.3.6.2.3 Ute Ladies'-tresses 3-277
3.3.6.2.4 Spalding's Catchfly 3-277
3.3.6.2.5 Gray Wolf 3-277
3.3.6.2.6 Bald Eagle 3-277
3.3.6.3 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts 3-284
3.3.6.4 Cumulative Impacts 3-284
3.3.7 Cultural Resources 3-285
3.3.7.1 Affected Environment 3-285
3.3.7.1.1 The Projects' Area of Potential Effects 3-287
3.3.7.1.2 Cultural and Historic Context Involving the Region in and Around the Projects 3-288
3.3.7.1.3 Pre-Project Relicensing-Related Cultural Resource Investigations Associated with the Projects 3-294
3.3.7.1.4 Cultural Resource Investigations Related to the Projects' Relicensing Process 3-296
3.3.7.1.5 Cultural Resources Identified in the Projects' APE 3-297
3.3.7.2 Environmental Consequences 3-302
3.3.7.2.1 Post Falls Project 3-302
3.3.7.2.2 Spokane River Developments 3-316
3.3.7.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-320
3.3.7.4 Cumulative Effects 3-320
3.3.8 Recreational Resources 3-321
3.3.8.1 Affected Environment 3-321
3.3.8.1.1 Regional Recreational Opportunities 3-321
3.3.8.1.2 Project Area Recreational Opportunities and Uses 3-322
3.3.8.1.3 Recreational Needs 3-327
3.3.8.2 Environmental Consequences 3-327

3.3.8.2.1 Post Falls Project Operations and Coeur d'Alene Lake Management 3-328
3.3.8.2.2 Post Falls Project Whitewater Boating Effects 3-329
3.3.8.2.3 Post Falls Project Recreation Plan 3-331
3.3.8.2.4 Spokane River Developments Recreation Plan..... 3-332
3.3.8.2.5 Post Falls Project and Spokane River Developments Public Outreach Plans..... 3-333
3.3.8.2.6 Post Falls Project Recreation Resource Measures..... 3-335
3.3.8.2.7 Spokane River Developments Recreation Resource Measures 3-339
3.3.8.3 Land and Water Conservation Fund Recreation Resources..... 3-343
3.3.8.4 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-343
3.3.8.5 Cumulative Effects 3-343
3.3.9 Land Management and Use 3-344
3.3.9.1 Affected Environment..... 3-344
3.3.9.1.1 Post Falls 3-345
3.3.9.1.2 Upper Falls and Monroe Street 3-346
3.3.9.1.3 Nine Mile and Long Lake 3-347
3.3.9.2 Environmental Consequences..... 3-348
3.3.9.2.1 Post Falls Project and Spokane River Developments Land Use Management Plans 3-348
3.3.9.2.2 Project Boundary Changes 3-350
3.3.9.2.3 Other Measures..... 3-354
3.3.9.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-355
3.3.10 Aesthetic Resources..... 3-355
3.3.10.1 Affected Environment..... 3-355
3.3.10.2 Environmental Consequences..... 3-357
3.3.10.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-360
3.3.11 Socioeconomic Resources 3-360
3.3.11.1 Affected Environment..... 3-360
3.3.11.2 Environmental Consequences at Post Falls Project and Spokane River Developments 3-365
3.3.11.3 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts..... 3-368
3.4 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE 3-368

3.5 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES 3-368
3.6 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SHORT-TERM USES AND LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY 3-368

4.0 DEVELOPMENTAL ANALYSIS 4-1

4.1 INTRODUCTION 4-1
4.2 BASIS FOR POWER AND COSTS OF THE PROJECTS 4-2
4.3 COSTS 4-3
4.3.1 Cost of Environmental Measures 4-3
4.3.2 Cost of Alternative 4(e) Conditions 4-24
4.4 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES 4-24
4.4.1 No-Action Alternative 4-24
4.4.2 Proposed Action 4-24
4.4.3 Staff Recommended Alternative 4-27
4.4.4 Staff Alternative with Preliminary Mandatory Conditions 4-27
4.4.5 Summary of Alternatives 4-28

5.0 STAFF'S CONCLUSIONS 5-1

5.1 COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AND RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE 5-1
5.1.1 Post Falls Project Recommendations 5-1
5.1.1.1 Measures Proposed by Avista 5-1
5.1.1.2 Staff-Recommended Measures 5-4
5.1.1.3 Discussion of Key Issues and Measures Proposed by Stakeholders 5-5
5.1.1.4 Staff Alternative with Mandatory Conditions 5-36
5.1.2 Spokane River Developments 5-38
5.1.2.1 Measures Proposed by Avista 5-38
5.1.2.2 Staff-Recommended Measures 5-40
5.1.2.3 Discussion of Key Issues and Measures Proposed by Stakeholders 5-41
5.2 SUMMARY OF SECTION 10(j) RECOMMENDATIONS AND 4(E) CONDITIONS 5-63
5.2.1 Recommendations Pursuant to Section 10(j) of the FPA 5-63
5.2.2 Recommendations Pursuant to Section 10(a) of the FPA 5-75
5.2.3 U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, and USDA Forest Service Section 4(e) Conditions 5-75
5.3 CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE PLANS 5-76

5.4 RELATIONSHIP OF LICENSE PROCESS TO LAWS AND POLICIES 5-76
5.4.1 Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification 5-76
5.4.2 Endangered Species Act 5-79
5.4.3 National Historic Preservation Act 5-80
5.4.4 Federal Power Act Section 18 Fishway Prescriptions 5-81
5.4.5 Land and Water Conservation Fund Act 5-81
5.4.6 Columbia River Fish and Wildlife Program 5-82

6.0 LITERATURE CITED 6-1

7.0 LIST OF PREPARERS 7-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Figure Name	Page
Figure 1.0-1.	Location map - Spokane River and Post Falls Hydroelectric Projects	1-2
Figure 1.2-1.	Regional firm monthly capacity surplus/deficit projections	1-5
Figure 3.3.1.1-1.	St. Joe River features	3-9
Figure 3.3.1.1-2.	Coeur d'Alene River features	3-11
Figure 3.3.1.1-3.	Conceptual model of sediment erosion, transport, and deposition in the lower Coeur d'Alene River	3-18
Figure 3.3.2.2-1.	Flow duration curve for Spokane River near Post Falls, Idaho (August 1978 through July 2002)	3-83
Figure 3.3.2.2-2.	Flow duration curve for Spokane River at Upper Falls/Monroe Street Developments (August 1978 through July 2002)	3-88
Figure 3.3.4.2-1.	General movement patterns of bull trout and westslope cutthroat trout in the Coeur d'Alene Basin in relation to Post Falls Dam operations	3-186
Figure 3.3.5.1-1.	Plant survey sites in the Spokane River Projects area	3-233

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Table Name	Page
Table 2.2.4-1.	Proposed Action and stakeholder alternatives measures	2-16
Table 3.3.2.1-1	Streamflow surface water and reservoir station information near the Spokane River Project	3-55
Table 3.3.2.1-2	Daily mean lake level elevation statistics (feet) for Coeur d'Alene Lake (August 1978 through July 2002)	3-61
Table 3.3.2.1-3	Daily mean elevation and corresponding surface area of Coeur d'Alene Lake (August 1978 through July 2002)	3-62
Table 3.3.2.1-4.	Daily mean flow statistics (cfs) for Spokane River near Post Falls, Idaho (August 1978 through July 2002)	3-63
Table 3.3.2.1-5.	Spokane River near Post Falls, Idaho, regulated 3-day maximum flow (cfs)	3-64
Table 3.3.2.1-6.	Spokane River near Post Falls, Washington, regulated 7-day minimum flow (cfs)	3-65
Table 3.3.2.1-7.	Daily mean flow statistics (cfs) for Spokane River at Upper Falls/Monroe Street (August 1978 through July 2002)	3-67
Table 3.3.2.1-8.	Spokane River at Upper Falls/Monroe Street regulated 3-day maximum flow (cfs) (August 1978 through July 2002)	3-68
Table 3.3.2.1-9	Spokane River at Upper Falls/Monroe Street, Washington, regulated 7-day minimum flow (cfs)	3-69
Table 3.3.2.1-10.	Daily mean flow statistics (cfs) for Spokane River at Nine Mile	3-71
Table 3.3.2.1-11	Spokane River at Nine Mile regulated 3-day maximum flow (cfs) (August 1978 through July 2002)	3-72
Table 3.3.2.1-12	Spokane River at Nine Mile regulated 7-day minimum flow (cfs)	3-73
Table 3.3.2.1-13	Daily mean flow statistics (cfs) for the Spokane River downstream of Long Lake Development	3-75
Table 3.3.2.1-14	Spokane River downstream of Long Lake regulated 3-day maximum flow (cfs)	3-76
Table 3.3.2.1-15	Spokane River downstream of Long Lake Development regulated 7-day minimum flow (cfs)	3-77

Table 3.3.2.1-16 Daily mean simulated lake level elevation statistics (feet) for Lake Spokane (August 1978 through July 2002) 3-78
Table 3.3.2.2-1 Change in daily mean elevation statistics (feet) (Proposed Action minus current Project operations) for Coeur d'Alene Lake (August 1978 through July 2002) 3-82
Table 3.3.3.1-1 Designated beneficial uses of surface waters 3-95
Table 3.3.3.1-2 Existing and proposed water quality criteria for surface waters in the Project area 3-97
Table 3.3.3.1-3. EPA-approved 1998 303(d) listings and status of corresponding TMDLs..... 3-102
Table 3.3.4.1-1. Fish of the Coeur d'Alene Lake Basin 3-149
Table 3.3.4.1-2. Fish species collected in Lake Spokane in 2001 3-160
Table 3.3.4.2-1. Potential for fish entrainment at Spokane River Projects 3-178
Table 3.3.4.2-2. Entrainment and mortality risk for fish at Post Falls Dam..... 3-179
Table 3.3.4.2-3. Entrainment and mortality risk for fish at Spokane River Developments 3-181
Table 3.3.4.2-4. Approximate distance of inundation in Coeur d'Alene Lake major tributaries at various lake elevations 3-186
Table 3.3.5.1-1. Habitat types mapped in 2003 in study area (acres)..... 3-227
Table 3.3.5.1-2. Culturally important species observed during field surveys, July and August 2003 3-234
Table 3.3.5.1-3. Noxious weeds observed during field surveys in July and August 2003 3-235
Table 3.3.5.1-4. Federally and state-listed endangered, threatened, and special concern wildlife species potentially occurring within the Project area in the Coeur d'Alene and Spokane subbasins 3-239
Table 3.3.7.1-1. National Register-eligible archaeological resources located within the Project APE in Idaho and Washington 3-298
Table 3.3.7.1-2. National Register evaluation of historic buildings and structures located within the APE or within 100 feet of the APE in Idaho and Washington 3-303
Table 3.3.8.1-1. Project recreational sites owned by Avista and within Project boundaries 3-323
Table 3.3.8.1-2. Recreation use at developed sites within or adjacent to the Project boundaries 3-326

Table 3.3.9.2-1. Summary of land ownership within Post Falls Project boundaries 3-351
Table 3.3.9.2-2. Summary of land ownership within Spokane River Developments boundaries 3-352
Table 3.3.11.1-1. Population density for the five counties within the Project area 3-361
Table 3.3.11.1-2. Percent share of each industry to total employment in the five Spokane River study area counties 3-362
Table 3.3.11.1-3. Per capita income in the five counties within the Project area, 2002 3-363
Table 4.2-1. Assumptions for economic analysis of the Post Falls Project and Spokane River Developments 4-2
Table 4.2-2. Current capital and annual costs for Post Falls Project 4-3
Table 4.2-3. Current capital and annual costs for Spokane River Developments 4-4
Table 4.3-1. Summary of costs of environmental measures for Post Falls Project 4-5
Table 4.3-2. Summary of costs of environmental measures for Spokane River Developments 4-16
Table 4.3-3. Summary of Avista's alternatives to DOI environmental measures for the Post Falls Project under appeal 4-25
Table 4.4-1. Summary of costs, power benefits, and net benefits of the Post Falls Project alternatives 4-26
Table 4.4-2. Summary of costs, power benefits, and net benefits of the Spokane River Developments alternatives[a] 4-26
Table 5.2-1. Fish and wildlife agency recommendations 5-64
Table 5.2-2. BIA preliminary 4(e) conditions for Post Falls Project 5-77
Table 5.3-1. Comprehensive plans relevant to Post Falls Project and Spokane River Developments 5-78

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
Advisory Council	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
ALP	alternative licensing process
aMW	average megawatt
APE	area of potential effect
APLIC	Avian Power Line Interaction Committee
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
Avista	Avista Corporation
AWTP	advanced wastewater treatment plant
BA	biological assessment
BEA	Bureau of Economic Analysis
BIA	Bureau of Indian Affairs
BLM	U.S. Bureau of Land Management
B.P.	before the present
BPA	Bonneville Power Administration
ºC	degrees Celsius
CCC	Civilian Conservation Corps
CCC	Criterion Continuous Concentration
CELP	Center for Environmental Law and Policy
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
cfs	cubic feet per second
CMC	Criterion Maximum Concentration
Commission	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
CRMP	Cultural Resources Management Plan
CRWG	Cultural Resources Work Group
CWA	Clean Water Act
DEIS	draft environmental impact statement
DO	dissolved oxygen
DOI	U.S. Department of the Interior
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ºF	degrees Fahrenheit
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FPA	Federal Power Act
fps	feet per second
FWG	Fisheries Work Group
GIS	geographic information system
HEC-RAS	Hydrology Engineering Center-River Analysis System (Corps of Engineers)

HPMP	Historic Properties Management Plan
HRA	Historical Research Associates
IDAPA	Idaho Administrative Procedures Act
IDEQ	Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
IDFG	Idaho Department of Fish and Game
IDOH	Idaho Division of Health
IDPR	Idaho Department of Parks and Recreation
IDWR	Idaho Department of Water Resources
IWTP	industrial wastewater treatment plant
LWCF	Land and Water Conservation Fund
MOA	memorandum of agreement
MW	megawatt
MWh	megawatt-hour
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHC	Northwest Hydraulic Consultants
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act of 1966
NPCC	Northwest Power and Conservation Council (formerly Northwest Power Planning Council)
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPPC	Northwest Power Planning Council (now Northwest Power and Conservation Council)
NPS	National Park Service
NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit
O&M	operation and maintenance
OU	Operable Unit
PA	programmatic agreement
PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PDEA	preliminary draft environmental assessment
PFO1	palustrine forested broad-leaved deciduous
pH	potential hydrogen (a measure of acidity and alkalinity)
PME	protection, mitigation, and enhancement
PNCA	Pacific Northwest Coordination Agreement
POTW	publicly owned treatment work
Post Falls Project	Post Falls Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 12606)
Projects	Spokane River Developments and Post Falls Hydroelectric Projects
PSS	palustrine scrub-shrub
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
ROD	Record of Decision
SD1	Scoping Document 1
SD2	Scoping Document 2

SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
Spokane River Developments	Spokane River Development of the Spokane River (FERC No. 2545)
TCP	traditional cultural property
TDG	total dissolved gas
THPO	Tribal Historic Preservation Officer
TMDL	total maximum daily load
TRWG	Terrestrial Resources Work Group
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WDFW	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
WDOE	Washington Department of Ecology
WDNR	Washington Department of Natural Resources
WRIA	water resources inventory area
WRWG	Water Resources Work Group
WSPRC	Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission
WUA	weighted usable area
WWTP	wastewater treatment plant