

# **COVER SHEET**

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT  
FOR OROVILLE FACILITIES

Docket No. P-2100

Table of Contents

Pages xi to xx

DEIS



2.4	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT ELIMINATED FROM DETAILED ANALYSIS.....	40
2.4.1	Federal Government Takeover of the Project.....	40
2.4.2	Issuing a Non-power License .....	40
2.4.3	Retiring the Project.....	40
3.0	ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS .....	43
3.1	GENERAL SETTING .....	43
3.2	CUMULATIVELY AFFECTED RESOURCES.....	43
3.2.1	Geographic Scope.....	45
3.2.2	Temporal Scope.....	45
3.3	PROPOSED ACTION AND ACTION ALTERNATIVES .....	45
3.3.1	Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources .....	45
3.3.1.1	Affected Environment.....	45
3.3.1.2	Environmental Effects.....	56
3.3.1.3	Cumulative Effects.....	62
3.3.1.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	64
3.3.2	Water Quantity and Quality.....	65
3.3.2.1	Affected Environment.....	65
3.3.2.2	Environmental Effects.....	91
3.3.2.3	Cumulative Effects.....	102
3.3.2.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	103
3.3.3	Aquatic Resources .....	103
3.3.3.1	Affected Environment.....	103
3.3.3.2	Environmental Effects.....	128
3.3.3.4	Cumulative Effects on Aquatic Resources.....	138
3.3.3.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	138
3.3.4	Terrestrial Resources .....	139
3.3.4.1	Affected Environment.....	139
3.3.4.2	Environmental Effects.....	154
3.3.4.3	Cumulative Effects on Terrestrial Resources.....	160
3.3.4.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	160
3.3.5	Threatened and Endangered Species .....	160
3.3.5.1	Affected Environment.....	160
3.3.5.2	Environmental Effects.....	169
3.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects on Threatened and Endangered Species.....	189
3.3.5.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	190
3.3.6	Recreational Resources.....	190
3.3.6.1	Affected Environment.....	190
3.3.6.2	Environmental Effects.....	223
3.3.6.3	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	267
3.3.7	Land Use and Management .....	267
3.3.7.1	Affected Environment.....	267
3.3.7.2	Environmental Effects.....	277
3.3.7.3	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	281
3.3.8	Cultural Resources.....	281
3.3.8.1	Affected Environment.....	281
3.3.8.2	Environmental Effects.....	297
3.3.8.3	Cumulative Effects on Cultural Resources .....	301
3.3.8.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	301

3.3.9	Aesthetic Resources.....	301
3.3.9.1	Affected Environment.....	301
3.3.9.2	Environmental Effects.....	309
3.3.9.3	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	310
3.3.10	Socioeconomics.....	310
3.3.10.1	Affected Environment.....	310
3.3.10.2	Environmental Effects.....	318
3.3.10.3	Cumulative Effects on Socioeconomics .....	334
3.3.10.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	334
3.4	NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE.....	334
3.5	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES.....	334
3.6	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SHORT-TERM USES AND LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY .....	334
4.0	DEVELOPMENTAL ANALYSIS.....	335
4.1	POWER AND ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF THE PROJECTS .....	335
4.1.1	Economic Assumptions .....	335
4.1.2	Current Annual Costs and Future Capital Costs for the Oroville Facilities under the No-action Alternative .....	336
4.2	COST OF ENVIRONMENTAL MEASURES .....	338
4.2.1	Cost of Environmental Measures for Oroville Facilities .....	338
4.2.2	Effect of Proposed Operations on Oroville Facilities.....	338
4.3	COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES .....	340
4.4	OTHER ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS .....	341
5.0	STAFF'S CONCLUSIONS.....	343
5.1	COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AND RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE ..	343
5.1.1	Staff Alternative (DWR's Proposal with Staff Modifications) .....	344
5.1.2	Rationale for Staff Recommendations.....	347
5.1.2.1	Geology and Soils .....	347
5.1.2.2	Water Quality.....	349
5.1.2.3	Aquatic Resources .....	350
5.1.2.4	Terrestrial Resources .....	356
5.1.2.5	Recreation .....	358
5.1.2.6	Land Use and Aesthetics.....	366
5.1.2.7	Cultural Resources .....	366
5.1.2.8	Socioeconomic.....	367
5.1.2.9	Administrative.....	368
5.1.3	Forest Service Terms and Conditions.....	370
5.1.4	Additional Measures Recommended by Staff.....	370
5.1.4.1	Reseeding Oroville Dam.....	370
5.1.4.2	Protection of Forest Service Special Status Species (Forest Service 4(e) Condition No. 17).....	371
5.1.4.3	Fuels Management Plan (Forest Service 4(e) Condition No. 19) .....	371
5.2	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS .....	371
5.3	FISH AND WILDLIFE AGENCY RECOMMENDATIONS .....	374
5.4	CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE AND OTHER RESOURCE PLANS....	375
5.5	RELATIONSHIP OF LICENSE PROCESS TO LAWS AND POLICIES .....	376
5.5.1	Water Quality Certification .....	376
5.5.2	Endangered Species Act.....	376

5.5.3	Essential Fish Habitat .....	377
5.5.4	National Historic Preservation Act.....	378
5.5.5	California Environmental Quality Act .....	378
6.0	LITERATURE CITED .....	381
7.0	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	395
8.0	LIST OF RECIPIENTS .....	397

APPENDIX A—REVIEW OF SOCIOECONOMIC MODEL AND RELATED DOCUMENTS  
APPENDIX B—DETAILED COSTS OF THE OROVILLE FACILITIES PROJECT

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Oroville Facilities project location.....	2
Figure 2.	Oroville Facilities project features.....	14
Figure 3.	Oroville Facilities flow diagram .....	15
Figure 4.	Lake Oroville daily elevations under various water conditions.....	21
Figure 5.	Lake Oroville historic storage volume and water surface elevations, water year 1971–2004 .....	22
Figure 6.	Thermalito afterbay historical water surface elevations, water year 2001.....	23
Figure 7.	North Fork of the Feather River hydroelectric projects .....	44
Figure 8.	Distance in river miles from the confluence with the Sacramento River .....	48
Figure 9.	Lake Oroville fish passage barriers.....	52
Figure 10.	Flow exceedance graph for Feather River at Oroville Gage.....	71
Figure 11.	Flood frequency graph for Feather River at Oroville Gage .....	72
Figure 12.	Maximum, mean, and minimum daily temperatures in the Feather River low flow channel .....	82
Figure 13.	Maximum, mean, and minimum daily temperatures in the Feather River high flow channel .....	83
Figure 14.	Feather River fish hatchery returns from 1967 to 2005 .....	115
Figure 15.	Low flow channel Chinook salmon spawning weighted useable area.....	179
Figure 16.	Lake Oroville recreational sites .....	202
Figure 17.	Lake Oroville trails .....	209
Figure 18.	DWR’s proposed trails and trail designations for Oroville Facilities .....	246
Figure 19.	Primary land management responsibility.....	268
Figure 20.	Average annual population growth in the Sacramento Valley region and Plumas County from 1960 through 2000, by county.....	311
Figure 21.	Butte County employment by industry .....	312
Figure 22.	Butte County economic base .....	313

This page intentionally left blank.

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Terminology used in the EIS to describe project-related geographic areas.....	16
Table 2.	Minimum instream flow requirements on the Feather River at Lake Oroville surface elevation greater than 733 feet msl .....	23
Table 3.	National Marine Fisheries Service 2002 biological opinion required ramping rates.....	24
Table 4.	Feather River ramping criteria for reducing flow .....	24
Table 5.	Existing temperature objectives at the Feather River fish hatchery.....	25
Table 6.	Proposed articles included in appendix A of the Settlement Agreement.....	27
Table 7.	Major tributary areas and flow contribution to Lake Oroville inflow .....	43
Table 8.	Geomorphic reaches of the Feather River .....	54
Table 9.	Selected Feather River segments and riprap lengths .....	56
Table 10.	Meteorological summary for Oroville, California (elevation 199 feet msl) .....	66
Table 11.	Meteorological summary for Meadow Valley, California (elevation 3,410 feet msl).....	66
Table 12.	Summary of daily average flow discharge (cfs) data, by month and overall, for the Feather River at Oroville, CA (USGS Gage No. 11407000), water year 1971 to 2004 ...	67
Table 13.	Summary of daily average flow discharge (cfs) data, by month and overall, for the Thermalito afterbay release to Feather River, CA (USGS Gage No. 11406920), water years 1971 to 2004 .....	69
Table 14.	Downstream use of water from the Oroville Facilities (2001 and 2002).....	74
Table 15.	Flood control requirements for Lake Oroville .....	74
Table 16.	Major spill events for Lake Oroville.....	75
Table 17.	DWR’s water rights for the Oroville Facilities.....	75
Table 18.	Applicable water quality objectives for the Lake Oroville Project.....	77
Table 19.	Feather River fish hatchery temperature objectives ( $\pm 4^{\circ}\text{F}$ between April 1 and November 30) .....	78
Table 20.	Mean water temperatures ( $^{\circ}\text{F}$ ) in Feather River pools downstream of Lake Oroville, June–October 2002 .....	80
Table 21.	Frequency at which fish hatchery water temperatures met temperature objectives from April 2002 to March 2004.....	84
Table 22.	Summary of Basin Plan DO exceedances during 2002 to 2003 .....	85
Table 23.	Water quality objectives and criteria for trace metals in waters of the Feather River watershed .....	86
Table 24.	Number of exceedances of either the Basin Plan and/or DHS fecal coliform thresholds based on 10 samples collected at recreation sites in June through August 2003 .....	89
Table 25.	List of fish species within the study area .....	104
Table 26.	Salmonid stocking activities in Lake Oroville (1993–2005) .....	110
Table 27.	Thermalito forebay fish stocking history .....	113
Table 28.	Metrics used to describe benthic macroinvertebrate samples collected following the California Stream Bioassessment Procedure .....	127
Table 29.	Summary information by geographic area for macroinvertebrates collected by DWR and CSU-Chico with a kick screen and metal frame in fall 2002 and spring 2003 .....	129
Table 30.	Summary information by geographic area for macroinvertebrates collected by DWR with a ponar grab in fall 2002 and spring 2003 .....	130
Table 31.	Vegetation/land use within the study area .....	139
Table 32.	Acreages of wetland vegetation types for major project features .....	141
Table 33.	Target weed species identified in the study area.....	142
Table 34.	Special-status plant species with potential for occurring within the study area .....	145
Table 35.	Summary of wildlife habitat acreages within the study area .....	149
Table 36.	List of non-native vertebrate wildlife potentially found within the study area.....	150
Table 37.	State-listed wildlife species potentially occurring in the study area .....	151

Table 38.	Other special-status species with the potential to occur in the project vicinity .....	152
Table 39.	Federally listed plant species with potential to occur in the study area .....	163
Table 40.	Federally listed species occurring in the project vicinity .....	165
Table 41.	Regional riding and hiking trails within 100 miles of the Feather River Project .....	192
Table 42.	Recreation facilities at Lake Oroville, Thermalito Complex, low flow channel, and OWA .....	196
Table 43.	Trails and trailheads at and near the Oroville Facilities .....	212
Table 44.	Primary types of trail use by visitors to the Lake Oroville State Recreation Area .....	220
Table 45.	Recreation Management Plan revision schedule .....	229
Table 46.	Proposed recreational improvements and actions in the first 10 years following license issuance at Lake Oroville .....	231
Table 47.	Proposed recreational enhancements in the first 10 years at Thermalito diversion pool .....	238
Table 48.	Proposed recreational enhancements in the first 10 years at Thermalito forebay .....	241
Table 49.	Proposed recreational enhancements in the first 10 years at Thermalito afterbay .....	242
Table 50.	Current and proposed trail designations for project trails .....	244
Table 51.	DWR mail-back survey responses indicating need for additional types of trails .....	252
Table 52.	Summary of public entity land management .....	269
Table 53.	DWR third-party leases .....	271
Table 54.	Land uses in the study area .....	274
Table 55.	Fire management policies and plans in the study area .....	276
Table 56.	Survey results by strategy .....	289
Table 57.	Number and percentage of prehistoric archaeological sites by categories within the APE .....	291
Table 58.	Historic-era archaeological sites within the Area of Potential Effects .....	292
Table 59.	Ethnographic and ethno-historic site categories within the APE .....	293
Table 60.	Historical structures within the Area of Potential Effects .....	294
Table 61.	Lake Oroville exceedance data at three elevations .....	304
Table 62.	Historical data on economic indicators in Butte County 1980–2000 .....	311
Table 63.	Estimates of annual operations and maintenance expenditures by state agencies related to the Oroville Facilities .....	315
Table 64.	Summary of current recreation-related spending in Butte County by county residents and out-of-county visitors to the Oroville Facilities (in thousands of nominal dollars) ..	315
Table 65.	Summary of jobs generated by recreation-related spending and operation and maintenance of the Oroville Facilities .....	316
Table 66.	Summary of earnings generated by recreation-related spending and operation and maintenance of the Oroville Facilities (in thousands of nominal dollars) .....	316
Table 67.	Oroville Facilities fiscal effects on Butte County .....	320
Table 68.	Staff assumptions for economic analysis of the Oroville Facilities .....	335
Table 69.	Summary of current annual costs and future capital costs for DWR’s Oroville Facilities under the No-action Alternative .....	336
Table 70.	Summary of annualized costs for measures included in the Proposed Action and Proposed Action with Staff Modifications for the Oroville Facilities .....	339
Table 71.	Summary of annual net benefits for the No-action, Proposed Action, and Proposed Action with Staff Modifications for the Oroville Facilities .....	340

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

°C	degrees Celsius
°F	degrees Fahrenheit
µg/L	micrograms per liter
µmhos/cm	micro-mhos per centimeter
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
APE	Area of Potential Effects
Basin Plan	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board's Water Quality Control Plan
Berry Creek Rancheria	Berry Creek Rancheria of Maidu Indians of California
BLM	U.S. Bureau of Land Management
CDF	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
cfs	cubic feet per second
Commission	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
DBW	California Department of Boating and Waterways
Delta	Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta
DFG	California Department of Fish and Game
DHS	California Department of Health Services
DO	dissolved oxygen
DPR	California Department of Parks and Recreation
DWR	California Department of Water Resources
EIS	environmental impact statement
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	federal Endangered Species Act
ESU	evolutionarily significant unit
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Forest Service	U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service
FPA	Federal Power Act
FR	Federal Register
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
HPMP	Historic Properties Management Plan
IHN	Infectious Hematopoetic Necrosis
kV	kilovolt
kWh	kilowatt-hour
LWD	large woody debris
MCL	maximum contaminant level
mg/L	milligrams per liter
mL	milliliter
Mooretown Rancheria	Mooretown Rancheria of Maidu Indians of California
msl	mean sea level
MTBE	methyl tertiary butyl ether

MW	megawatt
MWh	megawatt-hour
National Register	National Register of Historic Places
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
O&M	operations and maintenance
OEHHA	California/EPA Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
OHV	off-highway vehicle
ORCA	Oroville Recreation Coordination Agencies
OWA	Oroville Wildlife Area
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Regional Board	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
RM	river mile
RV	recreational vehicle
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
Water Board	State Water Resources Control Board