TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES ..... **viii**

LIST OF FIGURES ..... **xi**

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS ..... **xiii**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... **xvi**

1.0 PURPOSE OF ACTION AND NEED FOR POWER **1-1**
1.1 PURPOSE OF ACTION 1-2
1.2 NEED FOR POWER 1-4
1.3 INTERVENTIONS 1-5
1.4 SCOPING PROCESS 1-6
1.5 AGENCY CONSULTATION 1-7
1.6 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT 1-7
1.7 COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT 1-9

2.0 PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES **2-1**
2.1 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE 2-1
2.1.1 Existing Project Facilities 2-1
2.1.1.1 Upper Baker Development 2-1
2.1.1.2 Lower Baker Development 2-2
2.1.2 Current Project Operations 2-3
2.1.2.1 Power Generation Operations 2-4
2.1.2.2 Flood Storage Operation 2-4
2.1.2.3 Recreation Operations 2-5
2.1.2.4 Fishery Management Operations 2-5
2.1.2.5 Project Safety 2-6
2.1.3 Current Environmental Measures 2-6
2.2 PROPOSED ACTION 2-6
2.2.1 Project Facilities 2-7
2.2.2 Project Operation 2-9
2.2.3 Proposed Environmental Measures 2-9
2.3 MODIFICATIONS TO THE PROPOSED ACTION 2-16
2.3.1 Staff's Modifications to the Proposed Action 2-16
2.3.2 Water Quality Certification 2-17
2.3.3 Section 18 Fishway Prescriptions 2-18
2.3.4 Section 4(e) Federal Land Management Conditions 2-19
2.3.5 Section 10(j) Recommendations 2-21
2.4 ALTERNATIVIES CONSIDERED BUT ELIMINATED FROM DETAILED STUDY 2-21
2.4.1 Federal Takeover 2-21

2.4.2 Nonpower License 2-22
2.4.3 Project Retirement 2-22

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS 3-1
3.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE BASIN 3-2
3.2 CUMULATIVELY AFFECTED RESOURCES 3-4
3.2.1 Geographic Scope 3-5
3.2.1.1 Water Quantity 3-5
3.2.1.2 Water Quality 3-6
3.2.1.3 Sediment Supply and Transport 3-6
3.2.1.4 Aquatic Resources 3-7
3.2.1.5 Terrestrial Resources 3-8
3.2.1.6 Recreational Resources 3-8
3.2.1.7 Cultural Resources 3-8
3.2.2 Temporal Scope 3-9
3.3 PROPOSED ACTION AND ACTION ALTERNATIVES 3-9
3.3.1 Geology and Soils 3-9
3.3.1.1 Affected Environment 3-9
3.3.1.2 Environmental Effects 3-18
3.3.1.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-24
3.3.1.4 Cumulative Effects 3-24
3.3.2 Water Quantity 3-24
3.3.2.1 Affected Environment 3-24
3.3.2.2 Environmental Effects 3-33
3.3.2.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-57
3.3.2.4 Cumulative Effects 3-57
3.3.3 Water Quality 3-58
3.3.3.1 Affected Environment 3-58
3.3.3.2 Environmental Effects 3-69
3.3.3.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-79
3.3.3.4 Cumulative Effects 3-79
3.3.4 Aquatic Resources 3-80
3.3.4.1 Affected Environment 3-80
3.3.4.2 Environmental Effects 3-108
3.3.4.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-151
3.3.4.4 Cumulative Effects 3-151
3.3.5 Terrestrial Resources 3-152
3.3.5.1 Affected Environment 3-152
3.3.5.2 Environmental Effects 3-205
3.3.5.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-230
3.3.5.4 Cumulative Effects 3-230
3.3.6 Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Species and Essential Fish Habitat 3-233
3.3.6.1 Affected Environment 3-236
3.3.6.2 Environmental Effects 3-257

3.3.6.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-315
3.3.7 Cultural Resources 3-316
3.3.7.1 Affected Environment 3-316
3.3.7.2 Environmental Effects 3-329
3.3.7.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-334
3.3.7.4 Cumulative Effects 3-335
3.3.8 Recreational Resources 3-336
3.3.8.1 Affected Environment 3-336
3.3.8.2 Environmental Effects 3-350
3.3.8.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-380
3.3.8.4 Cumulative Effects 3-380
3.3.9 Aesthetic Resources 3-381
3.3.9.1 Affected Environment 3-381
3.3.9.2 Environmental Effects 3-394
3.3.9.3 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts 3-406
3.3.10 Land Management and Use 3-406
3.3.10.1 Affected Environment 3-406
3.3.10.2 Environmental Effects 3-412
3.3.10.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-415
3.3.11 Socioeconomic Resources 3-415
3.3.11.1 Affected Environment 3-415
3.3.11.2 Environmental Effects 3-419
3.3.11.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 3-420
3.4 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE 3-420
3.5 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES 3-420
3.6 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SHORT-TERM USES AND LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY 3-421

4.0 DEVELOPMENTAL ANALYSIS 4-1
4.1 POWER AND ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF THE PROJECT 4-1
4.1.1 Economics of the No-Action Alternative 4-3
4.1.2 Economics of the Proposed Project 4-4
4.1.3 Economics of the Staff Recommended Alternative 4-4
4.2 COST OF ENVIRONMENTAL MEASURES 4-4
4.2.1 Cost Impacts of Operational Changes 4-4
4.2.2 Cost of Environmental Enhancement Measures 4-6
4.2.3 Cost of Agency Recommendations 4-6
4.3 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES 4-10

5.0 STAFF'S CONCLUSIONS 5-1
5.1 COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AND RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE 5-1
5.1.1 Proposed Measures Recommended by Staff 5-2
5.1.2 Additional Measures Recommended by Staff 5-17

5.1.3 Modifications Recommended by Staff 5-20
5.1.4 Proposed Measures Not Recommended by Staff..... 5-36
5.2 FISH AND WILDLIFE AGENCY RECOMMENDATIONS 5-39
5.3 CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE PLANS..... 5-46
5.4 RELATIONSHIP OF LICENSCE PROCESS TO LAWS AND POLICIES..... 5-49
5.4.1 Water Quality Certification..... 5-49
5.4.2 Coastal Zone Consistency Certification..... 5-49
5.4.3 Endangered Species Act..... 5-49
5.4.4 Essential Fish Habitat..... 5-51
5.4.5 National Historic Preservation Act 5-51

6.0 LITERATURE CITED 6-1

7.0 LIST OF PREPARERS 7-1

8.0 LIST OF RECIPIENTS 8-1

APPENDIX A, PROPOSED LICENSE ARTICLES A-1

APPENDIX B, INTERIM PROTECTION PLAN B-1

APPENDIX C, STAFF RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS..... C-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Proposed license articles…… 2-10
Table 2-2. Forest Service preliminary section 4(e) conditions……... 2-20
Table 3-1. Shoreline erosion categorization around Baker Lake and Lake Shannon……. 3-14
Table 3-2. Sediment budgets for Baker River with the influence of the Baker River Project…… 3-16
Table 3-3. Daily mean statistics (cfs) for Baker River at Concrete under regulated and unregulated conditions (water years 1981 through 2002)……. 3-26
Table 3-4. Maximum 3-day maximum flow statistics (cfs) for Baker River at Concrete under regulated and unregulated conditions (water years 1981 through 2002)…….. 3-27
Table 3-5. Minimum 7-day low-flow statistics (cfs) for Baker River at Concrete under regulated and unregulated conditions (water years 1981 through 2002)……... 3-28
Table 3-6. Aquatics Table 1…… 3-36
Table 3-7. Aquatics Table 2…… 3-37
Table 3-8. Flood Control Storage at the Upper Baker Dam in acre-feet……. 3-52
Table 3-9. Predicted Skagit River stage (in feet) at three locations downstream from the Baker River confluence under two alternatives for flood control at the Baker River Hydroelectric Project……... 3-54
Table 3-10. Washington water quality criteria applicable for surface waters……... 3-59
Table 3-11. Designated uses of water bodies affected by the project as revised in June 2003. ……..... 3-60
Table 3-12. Washington water quality criteria as revised in June 2003…… 3-61
Table 3-13. Species composition of adult anadromous salmonids returning to the Baker River Project, 1926–2003…….. 3-87
Table 3-14. Baker River anadromous salmonids periodicity chart……... 3-89
Table 3-15. Periodicity chart for anadromous salmonids known to spawn in the Middle Skagit River……... 3-92
Table 3-16. Resident fish species confirmed present in the Baker River Project area…….. 3-96
Table 3-17. Aquatic resource effects of early or late reservoir drawdown……... 3-113
Table 3-18. Baker River Project area cover types (in acres)……. 3-154
Table 3-19. Baker River basin major cover types (in acres)……... 3-155
Table 3-20. Baker River Project area wetlands (in acres)……. 3-162
Table 3-21. Baker River basin wetland acreages…….. 3-164
Table 3-22. Special status plant species in the Baker River basin…… 3-170
Table 3-23. Washington State WDFW priority habitats in the Baker River basin……... 3-177
Table 3-24. Noxious weeds and invasive, non-native plant species in the Baker River Project vicinity……... 3-180
Table 3-25. Special status wildlife species known or potentially occurring in the Baker River basin……. 3-192
Table 3-26. Noxious weed sites and treatment methods available for National Forest System lands under Proposed Article 508, Noxious Weed Management Plan……... 3-217

Table 3-27. Federally listed threatened, endangered, proposed, and candidate species known or potentially occurring in the Baker River Project vicinity……. 3-234
Table 3-28. Baker Lake Project bald eagle nest territory productivity 1991–2004..... 3-247
Table 3-29. Analysis of environmental baseline, matrix of pathways and indicators……... 3-259
Table 3-30. Analysis of project effects. Summary of effects of the Proposed Action on the Puget Sound Chinook salmon ESU, Coastal-Puget Sound bull trout DPS, and Puget Sound steelhead found in the action area…….. 3-262
Table 3-31. Transect-weighted, reach-averaged, effective Chinook salmon spawning width in the Middle Skagit River under Current Operations, Interim Protection Plan, and the Proposed Action…… 3-270
Table 3-32. Summary of effects determinations for ESA-listed fish species, their designated critical habitat, and EFH that occurs in the Baker River Project action area…….. 3-275
Table 3-33. Transect-weighted, reach-averaged, effective Pink and Chum Salmon and Steelhead spawning width in the Middle Skagit River under Current Operations, Post-Licensing Interim Protection Plan, and the Proposed Action……. 3-289
Table 3-34. Effects determinations by type of disturbance (construction noise) and operating period for marbled murrelets, northern spotted owls and bald eagles…….. 3-295
Table 3-35. Summary of effects determinations for ESA listed plant and wildlife species, and their designated critical habitat……... 3-315
Table 3-36. Eligible prehistoric and historic archaeological resources…… 3-319
Table 3-37. Properties contributing or non-contributing to the historic districts……. 3-326
Table 3-38. Summary of developed recreational facilities at or near the Baker River Project……..... 3-338
Table 3-39. Summary of trails within the Baker River watershed…… 3-343
Table 3-40. Estimated annual overnight use for the Baker River Project Area……..... 3-346
Table 3-41. The minimum lake level elevations at and below which the six boat launches and two swimming beaches at the Baker River Project are unusable…… 3-349
Table 3-42. Forest Service Roads and Associated Recreation Facilities…….. 3-371
Table 3-43. Description of key viewing areas within the Baker River Project area……. 3-384
Table 3-44. Effects of project operations on key viewing areas at Baker Lake…… 3-395
Table 3-45. Visibility of project features…….. 3-399
Table 3-46. Effects of project facilities on key viewing areas from which project features can be seen……. 3-401
Table 3-47. Approximate land and water area within the Baker River Project boundary... 3-407
Table 3-48. Parcels proposed for removal from project boundary…….. 3-415
Table 3-49. Economic characteristics of Whatcom and Skagit Counties…… 3-418
Table 3-50. Population growth projections for Whatcom and Skagit Counties, Washington…… 3-419
Table 4-1. Parameters for economic analysis of the Baker River Project……... 4-2
Table 4-2. Summary of costs of environmental protection and mitigation measures as proposed in the Settlement Agreement…….. 4-7

Table 4-3. Summary of developmental costs, benefits, and annual net power benefits for the Baker River Project Alternatives……. 4-10
Table 5-1. Fish and wildlife agency recommendations…….. 5-41
Table 5-2. Comprehensive Plans relevant to the Baker River Project…… 5-47

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1. Baker River Project location…….. 1-3
Figure 3-1. Duration analysis of modeled water elevations for Baker Lake and Lake Shannon based on HYDROPS results for five representative years……. 3-20
Figure 3-2. Duration analysis of modeled daily water elevation fluctuations for Baker Lake and Lake Shannon based on HYDROPS results for five representative years……... 3-21
Figure 3-3. Example of the effect of current Baker River Project operations and natural diurnal fluctuations on May 1998 streamflow at the Skagit River near Concrete gage. Note that the Skagit River Project was not load following during this period……... 3-30
Figure 3-4. Example of attenuation and lag time upstream of the Skagit River near Concrete gage associated with a typical load-following event at Seattle City Light's Skagit River Project on April 7–8,1998…… 3-31
Figure 3-5. Modeled hourly 90 percent exceedance flows for Current Operations and Proposed Operations, based on HYDROPS results for five representative years……. 3-39
Figure 3-6. Modeled average hourly flows for Current Operations and Proposed Operations, based on HYDROPS results for five representative years……... 3-40
Figure 3-7. Modeled ten percent exceedance flows for Current Operations and Proposed Operations, based on HYDROPS results for five representative years…… 3-42
Figure 3-8. Annual percent exceedance of modeled daily flow fluctuations for Current Operations and Proposed Operations, based on HYDROPS results for five representative years…….. 3-43
Figure 3-9. Target maximum and minimum Baker Lake water levels for Current Operations and Proposed Operations…… 3-47
Figure 3-10. Target maximum and minimum Lake Shannon water levels for Current Operations and Proposed Operations…… 3-48
Figure 3-11. Modeled Baker Lake water elevations for Current Operations and Proposed Operations based on HYDROPS results for five representative years…….. 3-49
Figure 3-12. Modeled Lake Shannon water elevations for Current Operations and Proposed Operations based on HYDROPS results for five representative years……... 3-50
Figure 3-13. Typical patterns between TDG at the Baker adult fish trap and flow in the Lower Baker River, January 10 to 15, 2003…….. 3-68
Figure 3-14. Spawning habitat WUA in the Middle Skagit River for Chinook, pink, and chum salmon and steelhead trout……... 3-85
Figure 3-15. Rearing habitat WUA in the Middle Skagit River for Chinook salmon and steelhead trout…… 3-85
Figure 3-16. Five-year weighted average monthly number of transect-weighted, reach-averaged, downramping events in Middle Skagit River and exceedance of WDFW criteria……... 3-121
Figure 3-17. Transect- and year-weighted average varial zone width (feet) in the Middle Skagit River……... 3-125

Figure 3-18. Backwater slough surface area in the Middle Skagit River during low- and high-flow months for 12 consecutive energy years (1991–2002)……. 3-128
Figure 3-19. Side channel surface area in the Middle Skagit River during low- and high-flow months for 12 consecutive energy years (1991–2002)……. 3-129
Figure 3-20. Comparison of the percent time during each month, based on five representative water years, that four of the six boat launches are usable, using the elevations presented in table 3-41…… 3-351
Figure 3-21. Comparison of the percent time that the narrow passage at Baker Lake is navigable under Current Conditions and Proposed Operations based on five representative years and a reservoir elevation of 693.77 feet msl……. 3-354

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

°C	degrees Celsius
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
ac-ft	acre-feet
AESI	Associated Earth Sciences, Inc.
ALP	alternative licensing process
aMW	average megawatt
APE	area of potential effect
ARG	Aquatics Resource Group
ASC	Aquatic Conservation Strategy
ARWG	Aquatic Resources Working Group
Baker River Project	Baker River Hydroelectric Project
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMU	Bear Management Unit
BRC	Baker River Committee
BRCC	Baker River Coordinating Committee
cfs	cubic feet per second
Commission	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Commission staff	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission staff
CRAG	Cultural Resources Advisory Group
CREF	Cultural Resources Enhancement Fund
CWA	Clean Water Act
CZMA	Coastal Zone Management Act
dbh	diameter at breast height
DCA	Designated Conservation Area
DFPIP	downstream fish passage implementation plan
DO	dissolved oxygen
DPS	distinct population segment
EA	environmental assessment
Ecology	Washington Department of Ecology
EFH	essential fish habitat
EIS	environmental impact statement
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESU	evolutionarily significant unit
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FIP	flow implementation plan
FPA	Federal Power Act
FPFP	fish propagation facilities plan
FPTWG	Fish Passage Technical Working Group
FSC	floating surface collector
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

GIS	geographic information system
GMU	Game Management Unit
HCP	Habitat Conservation Plan
HERC Fund	Habitat Enhancement, Restoration, and Conservation Fund
HPMP	Historic Properties Management Plan
HSRG	Hatchery Scientific Review Group
IHN	infectious hematopoietic necrosis
IPP	Interim Protection Plan
kV	kilovolt
kVA	kilovolt ampere
KVA	key viewing area
LEP	Law Enforcement Plan
LRMP	Land and Resource Management Plan
LSR	late successional reserve
LWD	large woody debris
mg/l	milligram(s) per liter
MIS	management indicator species
ml	milliliter(s)
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
MSA	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
msl	mean sea level
MTBE	methyl-*tert*-butyl ether
MW	megawatt(s)
MWh	megawatt-hour
National Register	National Register of Historic Places
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NGO	non-governmental organization
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit
NWPCC	Northwest Power and Conservation Council
O&M	operation and maintenance
OAHP	Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
Park Service	National Park Service
PDEA	preliminary draft environmental assessment
PFC	properly functioning condition
PFMC	Pacific Fishery Management Council
PNUCC	Pacific Northwest Utilities Conference Committee
Power Plan	Northwest Conservation and Electric Power Plan
Program	Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program
Project	Baker River Hydroelectric Project

Puget	Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
RAM Fund	Recreation Adaptive Management Fund
RM	river mile
RRG	Recreation Resources Group
RV	recreational vehicle
SCORP	State Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
Skagit River Project	Skagit River Hydroelectric Project
SMMP	Shoreline Management Master Program
SMS	Scenery Management System
SSC	Skagit System Cooperative
TDG	total dissolved gas
TERF	Terrestrial Enhancement and Research Fund
TRIG	Terrestrial Resources Implementation Group
TRWG	Terrestrial Resources Working Group
μg/l	microgram(s) per liter
Forest Service	U.S. Forest Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
VMS	Visual Management System
VQO	Visual Quality Objective
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WAU	watershed administrative unit
WDFW	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
WDNR	Washington Department of Natural Resources
WHNP	Washington Natural Heritage Program
WRIA	Water Resources Inventory Area
WWTIT	Western Washington Treaty Indian Tribes