

COVER SHEET

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
FOR THE HELLS CANYON PROJECT

Docket No. P-1971-079

Table of Contents
Pages ix through xxx
DEIS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF TABLES	xxv
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xxix
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xxxi
1.0 PURPOSE OF ACTION AND NEED FOR POWER.....	1
1.1 PURPOSE OF ACTION	1
1.2 NEED FOR POWER	3
1.3 INTERVENTIONS.....	3
1.4 SCOPING PROCESS	4
1.5 CONSULTATION.....	5
2.0 PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES	7
2.1 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE.....	7
2.1.1 Existing Project Facilities.....	7
2.1.1.1 Brownlee Development.....	7
2.1.1.2 Oxbow Development	10
2.1.1.3 Hells Canyon Development	10
2.1.1.4 Transmission Facilities	10
2.1.1.5 Fish Hatcheries and Related Facilities	10
2.1.1.6 Recreation Facilities.....	14
2.1.1.7 Project Safety	14
2.1.2 Current Project Operations	14
2.1.2.1 Brownlee Development.....	14
2.1.2.2 Oxbow Development	16
2.1.2.3 Hells Canyon Development	17
2.1.3 Current Environmental Measures	17
2.1.4 Current Project Boundary	18
2.2 IDAHO POWER’S PROPOSAL.....	18
2.2.1 Proposed Project Facilities	18
2.2.2 Proposed Project Operations	18
2.2.3 Proposed Environmental Measures	19
2.2.4 Proposed Project Boundary	25
2.3 MODIFICATIONS TO THE PROPOSED ACTION	26
2.3.1 Mandatory Conditions	26
2.3.1.1 Water Quality Certification.....	26
2.3.1.2 Section 18 Fishway Prescriptions	26
2.3.1.3 Section 4(e) Federal Land Management Conditions.....	27
2.3.2 Other Recommendations by Agencies and Interested Parties	30
2.3.2.1 Section 10(j) Recommendations	30
2.3.2.2 Section 10(a) Recommendations	30
2.3.3 Staff Alternative	31

2.4	OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT ELIMINATED FROM DETAILED STUDY	37
2.4.1	Federal Government Takeover of the Project.....	37
2.4.2	Issuance of Nonpower License.....	37
2.4.3	Project Retirement.....	37
3.0	ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS	39
3.1	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE AREA	39
3.2	CUMULATIVELY AFFECTED RESOURCES.....	40
3.2.1	Geographic Scope.....	40
3.2.1.1	Sediment Transport.....	40
3.2.1.2	Water Quality.....	41
3.2.1.3	Anadromous Fish.....	41
3.2.1.4	Resident Fish.....	42
3.2.1.5	Federally Listed Aquatic Mollusks	43
3.2.1.6	Riparian/Wetland Habitat	43
3.2.1.7	Native Grasslands and Shrublands.....	43
3.2.1.8	Noxious Weeds and Invasive Exotic Plants.....	44
3.2.1.9	MacFarlane’s Four-o’clock.....	44
3.2.1.10	Bald Eagles and Peregrine Falcons.....	44
3.2.1.11	Recreational Resources.....	45
3.2.2	Temporal Scope.....	45
3.3	WATER QUANTITY	47
3.3.1	Affected Environment	47
3.3.1.1	Surface Water.....	48
3.3.1.2	Flood Storage.....	53
3.3.1.3	Navigation.....	55
3.3.1.4	Water Rights	56
3.3.2	Environmental Effects	58
3.3.2.1	Proposed Operations	59
3.3.2.2	Operational Recommendations and Alternative Evaluation Scenarios	59
3.3.2.3	Flood Storage	68
3.3.2.4	Brownlee Reservoir Levels.....	69
3.3.2.5	Oxbow and Hells Canyon Reservoir Levels	70
3.3.2.6	Project Outflows	73
3.3.2.7	Downstream Flows Important to Navigation.....	76
3.3.2.8	Flow Fluctuations Downstream of Hells Canyon Dam	77
3.3.2.9	Operations Compliance Measurement Location.....	78
3.3.2.10	Water Uses and Water Rights	79
3.3.3	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	80
3.4	SEDIMENT SUPPLY AND TRANSPORT	81
3.4.1	Affected Environment	81
3.4.1.1	Sediment Budget.....	81
3.4.1.2	Beaches and Terraces.....	86
3.4.1.3	Spawning Gravel.....	88
3.4.2	Environmental Effects	89
3.4.2.1	Effects of Project Operations on Sediment Transport.....	89
3.4.2.2	Sediment Augmentation and Monitoring.....	96

3.4.3	Cumulative Effects	98
3.4.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	99
3.5	WATER QUALITY	101
3.5.1	Affected Environment	101
3.5.1.1	Water Quality Standards	101
3.5.1.2	Temperature	105
3.5.1.3	Biological Productivity	113
3.5.1.4	Total Dissolved Gas	117
3.5.1.5	Turbidity	120
3.5.1.6	Hazardous Materials	122
3.5.1.7	Coliform Bacteria	123
3.5.2	Environmental Effects	123
3.5.2.1	Effects of Project Operations on Water Quality	123
3.5.2.2	Dissolved Oxygen Supplementation	137
3.5.2.3	Total Dissolved Gas Abatement	147
3.5.2.4	Temperature Control	151
3.5.2.5	Oxbow Bypassed Reach Flows	156
3.5.2.6	Effects of Other Measures on Water Quality	157
3.5.3	Cumulative Effects	159
3.5.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	160
3.6	AQUATIC RESOURCES	161
3.6.1	Affected Environment	161
3.6.1.1	Aquatic Habitat Conditions	161
3.6.1.2	Primary Production and Aquatic Macroinvertebrates	166
3.6.1.3	Anadromous Fish Species	168
3.6.1.4	Native Resident Salmonids	172
3.6.1.5	White Sturgeon	175
3.6.1.6	Reservoir Fisheries	177
3.6.1.7	Hells Canyon Riverine Fishery	181
3.6.1.8	Hatchery Operations	181
3.6.2	Environmental Effects	191
3.6.2.1	Effects of Project Operations on Aquatic Resources	191
3.6.2.2	Dissolved Oxygen Supplementation	265
3.6.2.3	Total Dissolved Gas	267
3.6.2.4	Temperature Control	268
3.6.2.5	Oxbow Bypassed Reach Flows	270
3.6.2.6	Anadromous Fish Restoration	272
3.6.2.7	Fish Passage Facilities	282
3.6.2.8	Resident Salmonid Passage	285
3.6.2.9	Fish Pathogen Assessment	288
3.6.2.10	Tributary Habitat Improvements	289
3.6.2.11	Marine-Derived Nutrients	294
3.6.2.12	Hatchery Production	297
3.6.2.13	Sturgeon Conservation Measures	306
3.6.2.14	Sediment Augmentation	314
3.6.2.15	Benthic Community Monitoring	316
3.6.2.16	Effects of Other Measures on Aquatic Resources	317

3.6.3	Cumulative Effects	318
3.6.3.1	Pacific Lamprey	318
3.6.3.2	Redband Trout and White Sturgeon.....	318
3.6.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	319
3.7	TERRESTRIAL RESOURCES.....	321
3.7.1	Affected Environment	321
3.7.1.1	Terrestrial Habitat Conditions.....	321
3.7.1.2	Special Status Plants and Plant Communities.....	323
3.7.1.3	Noxious Weeds and Invasive Exotic Plants.....	325
3.7.1.4	Key Wildlife Species	325
3.7.1.5	Special Status Wildlife Species.....	328
3.7.1.6	Land Management Practices	331
3.7.2	Environmental Effects	333
3.7.2.1	Effects of Project Operations on Terrestrial Resources	333
3.7.2.2	Special Status Plant Protection	347
3.7.2.3	Noxious Weed and Exotic Invasive Plant Management.....	349
3.7.2.4	Road, Transmission Line, and Right-of-Way Management	351
3.7.2.5	Upland and Riparian Habitat Acquisition.....	353
3.7.2.6	Cooperative Wildlife Management Projects.....	362
3.7.2.7	Wildlife Management on Idaho Power Lands	364
3.7.2.8	Special Status Wildlife.....	367
3.7.2.9	Effects of Other Measures on Terrestrial Resources.....	371
3.7.3	Cumulative Effects	372
3.7.3.1	Riparian and Wetland Habitats	372
3.7.3.2	Native Grasslands and Shrublands.....	373
3.7.3.3	Noxious Weeds and Invasive Exotic Plants.....	373
3.7.3.4	Peregrine Falcons.....	373
3.7.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	374
3.8	THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES.....	375
3.8.1	Affected Environment	375
3.8.1.1	Fall Chinook Salmon	375
3.8.1.2	Spring/Summer Chinook Salmon	375
3.8.1.3	Sockeye Salmon.....	378
3.8.1.4	Steelhead.....	378
3.8.1.5	Bull Trout.....	380
3.8.1.6	Bliss Rapids Snail	381
3.8.1.7	Idaho Springsnail	381
3.8.1.8	MacFarlane’s Four-o’clock.....	382
3.8.1.9	Howell’s Spectacular Thelypody	382
3.8.1.10	Spalding’s Catchfly.....	383
3.8.1.11	Gray Wolf	383
3.8.1.12	Canada Lynx	384
3.8.1.13	Northern Idaho Ground Squirrel	385
3.8.1.14	Bald Eagle.....	385
3.8.2	Environmental Effects on Threatened and Endangered Species	386
3.8.2.1	Snake River Fall Chinook Salmon.....	386
3.8.2.2	Snake River Spring/Summer Chinook Salmon.....	389
3.8.2.3	Snake River Sockeye Salmon	390
3.8.2.4	Snake River Steelhead	391
3.8.2.5	Other Columbia River Basin Salmon and Steelhead ESUs	393

	3.8.2.6	Bull Trout.....	393
	3.8.2.7	Idaho Springsnail	396
	3.8.2.8	MacFarlane’s Four-o’clock and Spalding’s Catchfly	396
	3.8.2.9	Gray Wolf	397
	3.8.2.10	Canada Lynx	398
	3.8.2.11	Northern Idaho Ground Squirrel	398
	3.8.2.12	Bald Eagle.....	399
3.8.3		Cumulative Effects	402
	3.8.3.1	Snake River Fall Chinook Salmon.....	402
	3.8.3.2	Snake River Steelhead and Spring/Summer Chinook Salmon....	403
	3.8.3.3	Snake River Sockeye Salmon	403
	3.8.3.4	Other Columbia River Basin Salmon and Steelhead ESUs	404
	3.8.3.5	Bull Trout.....	404
	3.8.3.6	MacFarlane’s Four-o’clock.....	405
	3.8.3.7	Bald Eagle.....	405
3.8.4		Unavoidable Adverse Effects	405
3.9		CULTURAL RESOURCES	407
3.9.1		Affected Environment	407
	3.9.1.1	Area of Potential Effect.....	407
	3.9.1.2	Cultural History Overview	408
	3.9.1.3	Previous Cultural Resource Investigations	410
	3.9.1.4	Prehistoric and Historic Archeological Resources.....	410
	3.9.1.5	Historic Buildings and Structures	413
	3.9.1.5	Traditional Cultural Properties, Sacred Sites, and Rock Art	413
3.9.2		Environmental Effects	414
	3.9.2.1	Effects of Project Operations on Cultural Resources.....	414
	3.9.2.2	Site Treatment.....	418
	3.9.2.3	Cultural Resources Interpretation	421
	3.9.2.4	Support for Native American Programs.....	422
	3.9.2.5	Management of Cultural Resources	424
	3.9.2.6	Effects of Other Measures on Cultural Resources	426
3.9.3		Unavoidable Adverse Effects	427
3.10		RECREATION RESOURCES	429
3.10.1		Affected Environment	429
	3.10.1.1	Regional Recreational Setting.....	429
	3.10.1.2	Recreational Facilities within the Project Boundary.....	430
	3.10.1.3	Recreational Use within the Project Boundary	434
	3.10.1.4	Recreational Activities.....	438
	3.10.1.5	Recreational Visitor Concerns	439
	3.10.1.6	Boating Use Downstream of the Project.....	441
3.10.2		Environmental Effects	444
	3.10.2.1	Effects of Project Operations on Recreation Resources	444
	3.10.2.2	Recreation Plan	448
	3.10.2.3	Recreation Site Improvements.....	452
	3.10.2.4	Sanitation and Litter Management.....	466
	3.10.2.5	Information and Education.....	469
	3.10.2.6	Trails	471
	3.10.2.7	Operation and Maintenance at Forest Service and BLM Sites ...	472
	3.10.2.8	Flow Information Downstream of Hells Canyon Dam.....	474
	3.10.2.9	Adaptive Management	474
	3.10.2.10	Hells Canyon Visitors Center Staffing.....	477

	3.10.2.11	Warmwater Fisheries Management Plan	478
	3.10.2.12	Effects of Other Measures on Recreation	479
	3.10.3	Cumulative Effects	479
	3.10.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	480
3.11		AESTHETICS	481
	3.11.1	Affected Environment	481
	3.11.1.2	Oxbow Development	482
	3.11.1.3	Hells Canyon Development	483
	3.11.1.4	Snake River Downstream of Hells Canyon Dam.....	484
	3.11.2	Environmental Effects	484
	3.11.2.1	Effects of Project Operations on Aesthetics.....	484
	3.11.2.2	Aesthetic Improvements and Resource Management	487
	3.11.2.3	Effects of Other Measures on Aesthetics	492
	3.11.3	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	493
3.12		LAND MANAGEMENT AND USE	495
	3.12.1	Affected Environment	495
	3.12.1.1	Project Boundary	495
	3.12.1.2	Land Ownership and Management Jurisdictions	495
	3.12.1.3	Land and Water Uses	498
	3.12.1.4	Road Management	500
	3.12.2	Environmental Effects	501
	3.12.2.1	Land Use Management	501
	3.12.2.2	Coordination with State and Federal Land Management Agencies.....	504
	3.12.2.3	Law Enforcement.....	505
	3.12.2.4	Fire Protection.....	507
	3.12.2.5	Boundary Modifications	508
	3.12.2.6	Road Management Plan	509
	3.12.2.7	Effects of Other Measures on Land Management.....	511
	3.12.3	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	512
3.13		SOCIOECONOMIC RESOURCES	513
	3.13.1	Affected Environment	513
	3.13.1.1	Idaho Power Electricity Generation and Rates	513
	3.13.1.2	Relationship of the Hells Canyon Project to Local Governments	514
	3.13.1.3	Commercial Enterprises in the Project Area.....	515
	3.13.1.4	Minority and Low-income Communities and Indian Tribes.....	516
	3.13.2	Effects of Project Operations on Socioeconomic Resources.....	518
	3.13.2.1	Effects on Power Costs	518
	3.13.2.2	Net Cost to Local Government	519
	3.13.2.3	Effects on Commercial Enterprises.....	520
	3.13.2.4	Effects on Minority and Low-income Communities and Indian Tribes	521
	3.13.2.5	Effects of Other Measures on Socioeconomics	522
	3.13.3	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	522
3.14		EFFECTS OF NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE.....	523
3.15		IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES.....	523
3.16		RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SHORT-TERM USES AND LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY	523

4.0	DEVELOPMENTAL ANALYSIS	525
4.1	BASIS FOR POWER, COSTS AND ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF THE PROJECT	525
4.2	COST OF ENVIRONMENTAL MEASURES	527
4.2.1	Reduced Benefits Associated with Operational Changes.....	527
4.2.2	Cost of Environmental Measures under the Applicants’ Proposal and Staff Alternative.....	530
4.3	COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	530
5.0	STAFF’S CONCLUSIONS.....	533
5.1	SUMMARY COMPARISON OF IDAHO POWER’S PROPOSAL AND STAFF ALTERNATIVE.....	533
5.1.1	Description of Alternatives.....	533
5.1.1.1	Idaho Power’s Proposal	533
5.1.1.2	Staff Alternative.....	533
5.1.2	Summary of Effects.....	545
5.2	DISCUSSION OF KEY ISSUES	545
5.2.1	Sediment Augmentation and Monitoring	545
5.2.2	Water Supply—Operational Measures	556
5.2.2.1	Flood Storage.....	556
5.2.2.2	Navigation Target Flow Levels.....	557
5.2.2.3	Flow Augmentation for Anadromous Fish Juvenile Migration.....	558
5.2.2.4	Water Rights	561
5.2.3	Water Quality	561
5.2.3.1	Dissolved Oxygen Supplementation.....	561
5.2.3.2	Water Temperature Control	565
5.2.3.3	Total Dissolved Gas Abatement	566
5.2.4	Aquatic Resources.....	568
5.2.4.1	Fall Chinook Spawning and Incubation Flows.....	568
5.2.4.2	Flow Fluctuations Outside of the Fall Chinook Spawning and Incubation Period	570
5.2.4.3	Anadromous Fish Restoration.....	573
5.2.4.4	Resident Salmonid Passage.....	576
5.2.4.5	Tributary Habitat Improvements.....	578
5.2.4.6	Fish Pathogen Assessment.....	580
5.2.4.7	Oxbow Bypassed Reach Flows.....	582
5.2.4.8	Hatchery Production	583
5.2.4.9	Warmwater Fisheries	584
5.2.4.10	Sturgeon Conservation Measures.....	585
5.2.4.11	Invertebrate Monitoring	587
5.2.5	Terrestrial Resources	588
5.2.5.1	Special Status Plant and Wildlife Protection	588
5.2.5.2	Noxious Weed and Exotic Invasive Plant Management	590
5.2.5.3	Road, Transmission Line, and Right-of-Way Management	591
5.2.5.4	Upland and Riparian Habitat Acquisition	592
5.2.5.5	Cooperative Wildlife Management Projects	595
5.2.5.6	Wildlife Management on Project Lands	596

5.2.6	Cultural Resources.....	597
5.2.6.1	Finalization of the Historic Properties Management Plan	597
5.2.6.2	Cultural Resources Monitoring.....	598
5.2.6.3	Cultural Resource Site Stabilization	599
5.2.6.4	Ethnographic and Oral History Studies	599
5.2.6.5	Tribal Participation, Education, and Training.....	600
5.2.6.6	Cultural Resources Interpretation	600
5.2.6.7	Other Cultural Resource Management Issues.....	601
5.2.7	Recreation Resources	603
5.2.7.1	Recreation Plan	603
5.2.7.2	Recreation Site Improvements	604
5.2.7.3	Sanitation and Litter Management	606
5.2.7.4	Information and Education.....	607
5.2.7.5	Trails	608
5.2.7.6	Operation and Maintenance at Forest Service and BLM Sites	608
5.2.7.7	Adaptive Management	609
5.2.8	Land Management and Aesthetics.....	610
5.2.8.1	Land Use Management	610
5.2.8.2	Law Enforcement and Fire Protection	611
5.2.8.3	Boundary Modifications	612
5.2.8.4	Road Management Plan	612
5.2.8.5	Aesthetic Resource Management.....	613
5.3	SUMMARY OF 10(j) RECOMMENDATIONS AND 4(e) CONDITIONS	615
5.3.1	Fish and Wildlife Agency Recommendations	615
5.3.2	Interior and Forest Service 4(e) Conditions.....	615
5.4	CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE PLANS	644
5.4.1	Section 10(a)(2) Comprehensive Plans	644
5.4.1.1	Plans Applicable to Both Idaho and Oregon.....	644
5.4.1.2	Plans Applicable to Idaho	645
5.4.1.3	Plans Applicable to Oregon	645
5.4.2	Other Plans	647
5.5	RELATIONSHIP OF LICENSE PROCESS TO LAWS AND POLICIES	647
5.5.1	Section 401 of the Clean Water Act—Water Quality Certification	647
5.5.2	Coastal Zone Management Act—Consistency Certification.....	647
5.5.3	Section 18 of the Federal Power Act—Authority to Prescribe Fishways.....	648
5.5.4	Endangered Species Act	648
5.5.5	Essential Fish Habitat	651
5.5.6	National Historic Preservation Act.....	651
5.5.7	Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act, Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program, and Mainstem Amendments to the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program.....	652
5.5.8	Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.....	652
6.0	LITERATURE CITED	655
7.0	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	683
8.0	LIST OF RECIPIENTS	685

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A—Agency Identifiers for Measures Addressed in the EIS

APPENDIX B—Agency 4(e) Conditions

APPENDIX C—Modeled Constraints for the Idaho Power Company’s Proposed Operation and Operational Alternatives

APPENDIX D—Flow Fluctuations Downstream of Hells Canyon Dam—Figures

APPENDIX E—Developmental Analysis of New Environmental Measures Proposed by Idaho Power

APPENDIX F—Developmental Analysis of Other Measures Included in the Staff Alternative

This page intentionally left blank.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Location of the Hells Canyon Project	2
Figure 2.	Hydroelectric developments of the Hells Canyon Project.....	8
Figure 3.	Brownlee Development.....	9
Figure 4.	Oxbow Development.....	11
Figure 5.	Hells Canyon Development.....	12
Figure 6.	Transmission line associated with the Hells Canyon Project.....	13
Figure 7.	Simulated Brownlee reservoir levels for proposed operations under medium water conditions	15
Figure 8.	Comparison of Brownlee reservoir inflows under extremely high (1997), medium (1995), extremely low (1992), medium-high (1999) and medium-low (1994) water conditions	49
Figure 9.	Comparison of Brownlee reservoir average daily elevations under extremely high (1997), medium (1995), extremely low (1992), medium-high (1999) and medium-low (1994) water conditions	51
Figure 10.	Comparison of Hells Canyon outflows (USGS Gage No. 13290450, Snake River at Hells Canyon dam, Idaho-Oregon state line) under extremely high (1997), medium (1995), extremely low (1992), medium-high (1999) and medium-low (1994) water conditions	53
Figure 11.	Simulated Brownlee reservoir levels for extremely low water conditions.....	71
Figure 12.	Simulated Brownlee reservoir levels for medium-low water conditions	71
Figure 13.	Simulated Brownlee reservoir levels for medium water conditions.....	72
Figure 14.	Simulated Brownlee reservoir levels for medium-high water conditions	72
Figure 15.	Simulated Brownlee reservoir levels for extremely high water conditions.....	73
Figure 16.	Simulated project outflows for extremely low water conditions.....	74
Figure 17.	Simulated project outflows for medium-low water conditions	74
Figure 18.	Simulated project outflows for medium water conditions.....	75
Figure 19.	Simulated project outflows for medium-high water conditions	75
Figure 20.	Simulated project outflows for extremely high water conditions.....	76
Figure 21.	Summary of estimated sediment yield from tributaries using various techniques, sediment gaging at Weiser, and the four regional reservoirs	85
Figure 22.	Estimated cumulative annual area of sandbars that would be subject to sand mobilization under Proposed Operations and five alternative scenarios for an extremely low water year	92
Figure 23.	Estimated cumulative annual area of sandbars that would be subject to sand mobilization under Proposed Operations and five alternative scenarios for a medium water year.....	92
Figure 24.	Estimated cumulative annual area of sandbars that would be subject to sand mobilization under Proposed Operations and five alternative scenarios for an extremely high water year	93
Figure 25.	Recent (1990s) RM 345 ^a and Brownlee reservoir outflow and pre-project (1957) Brownlee dam site mean monthly water temperatures for the Brownlee reservoir	110
Figure 26.	Reaches, zones, and strata of Brownlee, Oxbow, and Hells Canyon reservoirs	111
Figure 27.	Vertical profiles of water temperature (open symbols) and DO concentrations (solid shaded symbols) in Brownlee reservoir (top), Oxbow reservoir (middle), and Hells Canyon reservoir (bottom), July and September 1995	112
Figure 28.	DO concentrations at the Brownlee reservoir inflow and downstream of Hells Canyon dam for 1992, 1995, and 1997 (low-, average-, and high-flow years)	115
Figure 29.	DO concentration time series for the Snake River downstream of Hells Canyon dam, late August through December 2000.....	117
Figure 30.	Relationship between spill rate at Hells Canyon dam and TDG approximately 1.5 miles downstream of the dam, 1997–1999	119

Figure 31.	Dissipation of elevated TDG downstream of Hells Canyon dam relative to the 110 percent of saturation target, 1997–1999	120
Figure 32.	Map of the Swan Falls to Brownlee reach of the Snake River	163
Figure 33.	Map of the Brownlee to Hells Canyon reach of the Snake River.....	165
Figure 34.	Map of the Hells Canyon to Lower Granite reach of the Snake River.....	167
Figure 35.	Mean catch per unit effort for all years combined and selected species	179
Figure 36.	Location of Idaho Power hatchery facilities.....	182
Figure 37.	Hourly total wetted stream area predicted for the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) modeled under the Proposed Operations during the extremely low flow year of 1992 (top), the medium flow year (middle) and the extremely high flow year of 1997 (bottom)	194
Figure 38.	Hourly total wetted stream area predicted for the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) modeled under Scenario 1b, Year-round 2-Inches-Per-Hour Ramping Rate, during the extremely low flow year of 1992 (top), the medium flow year (middle) and the extremely high flow year of 1997 (bottom).....	195
Figure 39.	Hourly total wetted stream area predicted for the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) modeled under Scenario 1c, Year-round 6-Inches-Per-Hour Ramping Rate, during the extremely low flow year of 1992 (top), the medium flow year (middle) and the extremely high flow year of 1997 (bottom).....	196
Figure 40.	Hourly total wetted stream area predicted for the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) modeled under the Flow Augmentation Scenario during the extremely low flow year of 1992 (top), the medium flow year (middle) and the extremely high flow year of 1997 (bottom)	197
Figure 41.	Hourly total wetted stream area predicted for the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) modeled under the Navigation Scenario during the extremely low flow year of 1992 (top), the medium flow year (middle) and the extremely high flow year of 1997 (bottom).....	198
Figure 42.	Hourly total wetted stream area predicted for the lower Hells Canyon reach (Salmon River confluence to Lower Granite reservoir) modeled under Proposed Operations during the extremely low flow year of 1992 (top), the medium flow year (middle) and the extremely high flow year of 1997 (bottom).....	199
Figure 43.	Hourly total wetted stream area predicted for the lower Hells Canyon reach (Salmon River confluence to Lower Granite reservoir) modeled under Scenario 1b, Year-round 2-Inches-Per-Hour Ramping Rate, during the extremely low flow year of 1992 (top), the medium flow year (middle) and the extremely high flow year of 1997 (bottom).....	200
Figure 44.	Hourly total wetted stream area predicted for the lower Hells Canyon reach (Salmon River confluence to Lower Granite reservoir) modeled under Scenario 1c, Year-round 6-Inches-Per-Hour Ramping Rate, during the extremely low flow year of 1992 (top), the medium flow year (middle) and the extremely high flow year of 1997 (bottom).....	201
Figure 45.	Hourly total wetted stream area predicted for the lower Hells Canyon reach (Salmon River confluence to Lower Granite reservoir) modeled under the Flow Augmentation Scenario during the extremely low flow year of 1992 (top), the medium flow year (middle) and the extremely high flow year of 1997 (bottom)	202
Figure 46.	Hourly total wetted stream area predicted for the lower Hells Canyon reach (Salmon River confluence to Lower Granite reservoir) modeled under the Navigation Scenario during the extremely low flow year of 1992 (top), the medium flow year (middle) and the extremely high flow year of 1997 (bottom)	203
Figure 47.	Weighted useable area versus discharge for fall Chinook juvenile habitat above the Salmon River (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) and below the Salmon River (Salmon River confluence to Lower Granite reservoir) predicted using the 2D habitat model	213

Figure 48.	Weighted useable area versus discharge for fall Chinook juvenile habitat upstream of the Salmon River (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) and downstream of the Salmon River (Salmon River confluence to Lower Granite reservoir) predicted using the 1D habitat model	214
Figure 49.	Hourly weighted useable area for fall Chinook juveniles upstream of the Salmon River simulated for proposed operations using the 2D model for three water year types	215
Figure 50.	Hourly weighted useable area for fall Chinook juveniles upstream of the Salmon River simulated for proposed operations using the 1D model for three water year types	216
Figure 51.	Flow augmentation water provided from Brownlee reservoir and total flow augmentation water provided from the Snake River Basin.....	225
Figure 52.	Estimated survival probabilities (with standard errors) from the point of release in the Snake River (Billy Creek [RM 164.7] or Pittsburg landing [RM 215]) to the tailrace of Lower Granite dam for PIT-tagged hatchery fall Chinook salmon, 1995–2000.....	227
Figure 53.	Relations between the estimated probability of survival to Lower Granite dam and indices of discharge, temperature, and transparency and release date for groups of PIT-tagged hatchery fall Chinook salmon released at Pittsburg landing and Billy Creek on the free-flowing Snake River, 1995–2000.....	228
Figure 54.	Detection rate of PIT-tagged Snake River subyearling Chinook salmon at Lower Granite dam, 1992–1995 and its relation to mean summer flow (top) and maximum summer water temperature (bottom) in Lower Granite reservoir. Ninety-five percent simultaneous confidence intervals are shown on either side of the regression lines.....	229
Figure 55.	Survival (with 95 percent confidence intervals) to the tailrace of Lower Granite dam for PIT-tagged wild subyearling fall Chinook salmon in 1998 (top), 1999 (center), and 2000 (bottom), predicted from mean flows and water temperatures with and without summer flow augmentation	230
Figure 56.	1992 simulated hourly Hells Canyon outflow temperatures for the Flow Augmentation Scenario and Proposed Operations.....	232
Figure 57.	Total adult fall Chinook salmon passing Lower Granite dam and total flow augmentation volume provided from the Snake River Basin during the primary year of outmigration (4 years prior to adult return data).....	233
Figure 58.	Total adult fall Chinook salmon passing Lower Granite dam and total flow augmentation volume provided from Brownlee reservoir during the primary year of outmigration (4 years prior to adult return data).....	234
Figure 59.	Weighted useable area versus discharge relationships for bull trout (upper plot) and redband trout (lower plot) in the upper and lower Hells Canyon reaches.....	236
Figure 60.	Hourly weighted useable area for bull trout adults in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for Proposed Operations for three water year types	237
Figure 61.	Hourly weighted useable area for bull trout adults in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for the Flow Augmentation Scenario for three water year types	238
Figure 62.	Hourly weighted useable area for redband trout adults in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for Proposed Operations for three water year types	239
Figure 63.	Hourly weighted useable area for redband trout adults in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for the Flow Augmentation Scenario for three water year types	240
Figure 64.	Hourly weighted useable area for white sturgeon spawning in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for Proposed Operations for three water year types.....	245

Figure 65.	Hourly weighted useable area for white sturgeon spawning in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for the Flow Augmentation Scenario for three water year types	246
Figure 66.	Hourly weighted useable area for white sturgeon incubation in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for Proposed Operations for three water year types.....	247
Figure 67.	Hourly weighted useable area for white sturgeon incubation in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for the Flow Augmentation Scenario for three water year types	248
Figure 68.	Hourly weighted useable area for white sturgeon larvae in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for Proposed Operations for three water year types.....	249
Figure 69.	Hourly weighted useable area for white sturgeon larvae in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for the Flow Augmentation Scenario for three water year types	250
Figure 70.	Hourly weighted useable area for white sturgeon young-of-year in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for Proposed Operations for three water year types.....	251
Figure 71.	Hourly weighted useable area for white sturgeon young-of-year in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for the Flow Augmentation Scenario for three water year types	252
Figure 72.	Hourly weighted useable area for white sturgeon juveniles in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for Proposed Operations for three water year types.....	253
Figure 73.	Hourly weighted useable area for white sturgeon juveniles in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for the Flow Augmentation Scenario for three water year types	254
Figure 74.	Hourly weighted useable area for white sturgeon adults in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for Proposed Operations for three water year types.....	255
Figure 75.	Hourly weighted useable area for white sturgeon adults in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River confluence) simulated for the Flow Augmentation Scenario for three water year types	256
Figure 76.	Length-frequency distributions (adjusted for gear selectivity) of white sturgeon sampled with setlines in the Snake River reaches from Swan Falls to Lower Granite dams	258
Figure 77.	Smallmouth bass spawning period and Brownlee reservoir elevations predicted for three water year types for Proposed Operations and for Scenario 2, Flow Augmentation....	260
Figure 78.	Crappie spawning period and Brownlee reservoir elevations predicted for three water year types for Proposed Operations and for Scenario 2, Flow Augmentation	261
Figure 79.	Channel catfish spawning period and Brownlee reservoir elevations predicted for three water year types for Proposed Operations and for Scenario 2, Flow Augmentation	262
Figure 80.	Elevation change during an estimated active nest duration of 16 days for channel catfish nests constructed between June 15 and July 31	264
Figure 81.	Plan view of Hells Canyon trap modification Alternative 3 Revised.....	284
Figure 82.	Catch rates and hours of effort expended sampling for white sturgeon with setlines in the Snake River between Shoshone Falls and the confluence with the Salmon River.....	311
Figure 83.	Estimated total and wild escapement of fall-run Chinook salmon at Lower Granite dam, 1975–2003	376
Figure 84.	Estimated total and wild escapement of spring/summer Chinook salmon at Lower Granite dam, 1975–2003	379

Figure 85.	Sockeye salmon passage counts at Lower Granite dam for years 1975–2004	379
Figure 86.	Estimated total and wild escapement of steelhead at Lower Granite dam for the 1984–1985 through 2003–2004 run years	380
Figure 87.	Hells Canyon Project recreational sites	431
Figure 88.	Hours of recreational use by year and zone	436
Figure 89.	Average percentage of total warm-season hours of recreational use by activity.	438
Figure 90.	Total hours of warm-season recreational use by primary activity.....	439
Figure 91.	Annual number of boaters by status (commercial or private) and type (float or power) registered as entering the HCNRA through Hells Canyon Creek portal.....	441

This page intentionally left blank.

LIST OF TABLES

Table ES-1.	Summary of effects of Idaho Power’s Proposal and Staff Alternative.....	xxxvii
Table 1.	Summary of operating constraints for Idaho Power’s Proposed Operations.....	18
Table 2.	Mainstem hydroelectric projects on the Snake River from Shoshone Falls downstream and on the lower Columbia River.....	42
Table 3.	Key features along the main stem of the Snake River.....	47
Table 4.	Physical characteristics of Brownlee, Oxbow, and Hells Canyon reservoirs.....	50
Table 5.	Required flood control draft at Brownlee reservoir based on November 1998 rule curve.....	54
Table 6.	Project-related water rights for the Hells Canyon Project.....	56
Table 7.	Operational recommendations.....	60
Table 8.	Sediment budget.....	83
Table 9.	Estimated cumulative annual area of sandbars that would be subject to inundation under Proposed Operations and five alternative scenarios.....	90
Table 10.	Estimated cumulative annual area of sandbars that would be subject to sand mobilization under Proposed Operations and five alternative scenarios.....	91
Table 11.	Estimated percent change in cumulative annual area of sandbars that would be subject to sand mobilization under five alternative scenarios. Percentages are given relative to the area of sand mobilization for Proposed Operations.....	93
Table 12.	Percent exceedance for selected flows based on flow-duration curves for Proposed Operations and five alternative scenarios.....	95
Table 13.	Idaho designated beneficial uses and most recent EPA-approved 303(d) listings for the Snake River.....	101
Table 14.	Oregon designated beneficial uses and most recent EPA-approved 303(d) listings for the Snake River.....	102
Table 15.	Water quality targets for the Snake River–Hells Canyon.....	103
Table 16.	Summary of Snake River tributary flows, water temperatures, and total phosphorus loadings, 1980–2003.....	106
Table 17.	Summary of turbidity data for various reaches of the project, 1992–1997.....	121
Table 18.	Summary of total suspended solids data available for the Snake River and its tributaries near their terminus, 1970–1997.....	121
Table 19.	Summary comparison of Brownlee reservoir simulated temperatures for Proposed Operations and Scenario 2 (Flow Augmentation).....	125
Table 20.	Summary comparison of simulated Hells Canyon outflow hourly water temperatures for Proposed Operations and Scenario 2 to TMDL water temperature targets.....	127
Table 21.	Summary comparison of Brownlee reservoir simulated DO concentrations for Proposed Operations and Scenario 2 (Flow Augmentation).....	129
Table 22.	Summary of comparison of simulated Hells Canyon outflow hourly DO concentrations for Proposed Operations and Scenario 2 (Flow Augmentation) to TMDL targets.....	131
Table 23.	Summary of the occurrence of hourly modeled Brownlee outflows of greater than 38,000 cfs, ^a which likely would result in TDG exceeding the 110-percent of saturation criterion with current spillway structures and operations.....	133
Table 24.	Summary of the occurrence of hourly modeled Hells Canyon dam gage (No. 13290450) flows of greater than 30,500 cfs, ^a which likely would result in TDG exceeding the 110 percent of saturation criterion with current spillway structures and operations.....	134
Table 25.	Summary comparison of Brownlee reservoir simulated DO concentrations for Proposed Operations with and without proposed reservoir DO supplementation.....	141
Table 26.	Principal tributaries to the Snake River that historically supported anadromous fish, with the location of Brownlee, Oxbow and Hells Canyon dams shown for reference.....	162
Table 27.	Number of rainbow trout stocked by IDFG and ODFW at project reservoirs and at major tributaries from 1987 to 1997.....	174

Table 28.	Abundance estimates for white sturgeon populations in Snake River reaches from Shoshone Falls to Lower Granite dam	176
Table 29.	Fish sampled in project reservoirs listed by species code, common and scientific names, and native or nonnative status	178
Table 30.	Estimated average annual angling effort, catch and harvest rates by species for 1994 through 1998, and 2000.....	180
Table 31.	Distribution of steelhead smolts produced at Niagara Springs hatchery for brood years 1965 through 1999	184
Table 32.	Estimated adult contribution of steelhead produced at Niagara Springs hatchery from 1979 through 1998.....	186
Table 33.	Distribution of spring Chinook smolts released for mitigation purposes from the Rapid River hatchery for brood years 1964 through 1998	187
Table 34.	Distribution of summer and spring Chinook smolts released for mitigation purposes from the Pahsimeroi hatchery for brood years 1981 through 2000.....	188
Table 35.	Estimated adult contribution of spring Chinook produced at the Rapid River hatchery from 1978 through 1998.....	190
Table 36.	Distribution of fall Chinook smolts produced at the Oxbow hatchery for brood years from 1961 through 2000.....	192
Table 37.	Estimated maximum seasonal percentage of streambed that would be subject to daily dewatering upstream and downstream of the Salmon River under Proposed Operations and four alternative scenarios	204
Table 38.	Fall Chinook spawning flow, incubation flow, and redd monitoring recommendations.	206
Table 39.	Estimated redd capacity for the Snake River at selected discharge levels from Hells Canyon dam.....	208
Table 40.	Ramping rate and minimum flow recommendations outside of the fall Chinook spawning season.....	210
Table 41.	Estimated minimum, maximum, and maximum percent daily fluctuation in WUA during the fall Chinook rearing period (March 15 to June 15), based on 2D modeling of fall Chinook rearing habitat in the Hells Canyon reach upstream and downstream of the Salmon River.....	217
Table 42.	Estimated minimum, maximum, and maximum percent daily fluctuation in WUA during the fall Chinook rearing period (March 15 to June 15) based on 1D modeling of fall Chinook rearing habitat in the Hells Canyon reach upstream and downstream of the Salmon River.....	217
Table 43.	Estimated maximum seasonal percentage of streambed that would be subject to daily dewatering during the fall Chinook rearing period (March 15 to June 15) upstream and downstream of the Salmon River under Proposed Operations and four alternative scenarios	219
Table 44.	Entrapment site locations, flows at which sites disconnect from the river (entrapment flow), number of entrapment events, and numbers of age-0 fall Chinook salmon estimated to be entrapped and killed between March 15 and June 15, 2005 in the upper Hells Canyon reach of the Snake River.....	222
Table 45.	Total number of fish other than wild age-0 fall Chinook salmon encountered during entrapment pool surveys conducted in the upper Hells Canyon reach of the Snake River in 2005	223
Table 46.	Estimated age-0 fall Chinook salmon entrapment losses under the 2005 minimum flow (8,700 cfs) and three alternative modeled flows released from Hells Canyon dam.....	223
Table 47.	Estimated age-0 fall Chinook salmon entrapment losses under the 2005 ramping rate (12-inches-per-hour) and three alternative ramping rates applied to Hells Canyon dam operations at an inflow of 11,340 cfs (observed April 26, 2005).....	224

Table 48.	Estimated minimum, maximum, and maximum percent daily fluctuation in WUA for bull trout and redband trout adults in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River).....	241
Table 49.	Estimated minimum, maximum, and maximum percent daily fluctuation in WUA for white sturgeon in the upper Hells Canyon reach (Hells Canyon dam to the Salmon River).	243
Table 50.	Spawning seasons, nesting duration and nest depths of smallmouth bass, crappie, and channel catfish in Brownlee reservoir	259
Table 51.	Anadromous fish restoration recommendations.....	273
Table 52.	Water quality improvement recommendations related to anadromous fish restoration	275
Table 53.	Incremental smolt yields with fish passage provided at Idaho Power’s mainstem Snake River dams under various passage scenarios.....	279
Table 54.	Phased anadromous fish passage plan	280
Table 55.	Estimated smolt production and adult returns from habitat made accessible under the phased restoration approach (assumes that no passage is provided at other dams in tributary streams).....	282
Table 56.	Tributary habitat enhancement recommendations.....	291
Table 57.	Recommendations for providing marine-derived nutrients.....	295
Table 58.	Hatchery recommendations.....	299
Table 59.	Additional hatchery/surplus fish recommendations.	302
Table 60.	Recommended measures related to white sturgeon conservation	307
Table 61.	Percentage of fall Chinook redds observed in the mainstem Snake River, by reach, 1991 to 2000.....	315
Table 62.	Special status species and species groups used to focus evaluations of project effects	329
Table 63.	Acres of existing upland and riparian cover types along the Snake River downstream of Hells Canyon dam, and the change in acreage that would result from implementation of various flow scenarios.....	338
Table 64.	Minimum acreage proposed or recommended for acquisition to address project effects on terrestrial resources	354
Table 65.	Acreage of project effects, by habitat type.....	357
Table 66.	Releases of fall Chinook hatchery smolts into the Snake River Basin.....	377
Table 67.	Projectile point forms and associated assemblages, by phase	408
Table 68.	Number of registered boaters entering the HCNRA through Hells Canyon Creek, Pittsburg landing, Dug Bar, and Cache Creek portals combined, by year	429
Table 69.	Formal and semi-formal public recreational facilities within the Hells Canyon Project boundary.....	432
Table 70.	Descriptive statistics of dispersed, undeveloped, and informal recreational sites within the project boundary.....	434
Table 71.	Total annual recreation days by development and season.....	435
Table 72.	Percent of warm-season recreation days by development.....	435
Table 73.	Physical capacity of project sites developed for RVs and tents	437
Table 74.	Percentage of comments by major category and reservoir.....	439
Table 75.	Snake River fluctuation-related problems experienced by boaters	443
Table 76.	Typical source of flow information for boaters who checked flows before their trip (% using various sources).....	443
Table 77.	Elevation of the toe of boat ramps at Brownlee reservoir parks compared to full pool elevation	445
Table 78.	Proposed and recommended recreational facility improvement measures.....	453
Table 79.	Range of drawdown zone (feet) for Brownlee reservoir under Proposed Operations and the Flow Augmentation Scenario during July to October representing the following water conditions: extremely low flow (1992), medium flow (1995) and extremely high flow (1997).....	485

Table 80.	Land ownership and management by development within the Hells Canyon Project proposed project boundary, in acres.....	496
Table 81.	Idaho Power’s sales of electricity in 2004.....	513
Table 82.	Idaho Power’s average electricity rates compared to Idaho, Oregon, and U.S. averages in cents/kWh, 2004.....	514
Table 83.	Annual property taxes paid by Idaho Power to counties bordering the Hells Canyon Project in 2000.....	515
Table 84.	Donations by Idaho Power to counties bordering the Hells Canyon Project for enhanced services in 2002 and 2003.....	515
Table 85.	Total visitor spending by county.....	516
Table 86.	Total population and population percentage by race categories.....	517
Table 87.	Poverty statistics and housing affordability statistics.....	518
Table 88.	Cost and net annual generation of the proposed and staff alternative measures.....	518
Table 89.	Estimated change in Idaho Power rates by rate class for the proposed and staff alternative measures.....	519
Table 90.	Contingent valuation study results for recreational expenditures in Oregon, Washington and Idaho (n = 143) and estimated project-related expenditures for recreational activities.....	520
Table 91.	Summary of key parameters for economic analysis of the Hells Canyon Hydroelectric Project.....	525
Table 92.	Costs associated with the No-action Alternative for the Hells Canyon Project.....	526
Table 93.	Annualized lost benefits associated with supplemental operational measures included in the staff alternative.....	528
Table 94.	Summary by resource area of capital and one-time costs, annual operation and maintenance costs, and total annualized costs of additional environmental measures included in Idaho Power’s Proposal and the Staff Alternative.....	531
Table 95.	Summary of the annual cost, power benefits, and net benefits for the No-action Alternative, Idaho Power’s Proposal, and the Staff Alternative.....	532
Table 96.	Summary of effects of Idaho Power’s Proposal and Staff Alternative.....	546
Table 97.	Fish and wildlife agency recommendations for the Hells Canyon Project.....	616
Table 98.	Interior and Forest Service preliminary 4(e) conditions for the Hells Canyon Project.....	638
Table 99.	Idaho Power alternative 4(e) conditions for the Hells Canyon Project.....	641
Table 100.	Summary of effect determinations for fish, plants, and wildlife.....	648

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ACEC	area of critical environmental concern
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
Advisory Council	Advisory Council of Historic Preservation
aMW	average megawatts
APE	Area of Potential Effect
aMW	average megawatt
AR	American Rivers
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMP	best management practices
BOR	Bureau of Reclamation
°C	degrees Celsius
cfs	cubic feet per second
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
Commerce	U.S. Department of Commerce
Commission	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
CRMP	Cultural Resources Management Plan
CWMA	cooperative weed management area
CWA	Clean Water Act
DO	dissolved oxygen
EA	environmental assessment
EIS	environmental impact statement
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
EPAct	Energy Policy Act of 2005
ESA	Endangered Species Act
°F	degrees Fahrenheit
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FMR	fire modified rock
FPA	Federal Power Act
Forest Service	U.S. Forest Service
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
GBT	gas bubble trauma
HART	Hydropower Application Review Team
HCNRA	Hells Canyon National Recreation Area
HCRMP	Hells Canyon Resource Management Plan
HEP	Habitat Evaluation Procedure
I&E	information and education
Idaho Power	Idaho Power Company
IDEQ	Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality
IDFG	Idaho Department of Fish and Game
IDPR	Idaho Department of Parks and Recreation
ISAB	Independent Scientific Advisory Board
Interior	U.S. Department of the Interior
IRU	Idaho Rivers United
kaf	thousand acre-feet
kV	kilovolt
kWh	kilowatt-hour
mg/L	milligram per liter
MHWM	mean high water mark

msl	msl
MW	megawatt
MWh	megawatt-hours
National Register	National Register of Historic Places
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NGO	non-governmental organization
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NPPVA	Northwest Professional Power Vessel Association
NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit
ODEQ	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
ODFW	Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
ODSL	Oregon Department of State Lands
O&M	operation and maintenance
OPRD	Oregon Parks and Recreation Department
OSMB	Oregon State Marine Board
OWRD	Oregon Water Resources Department
PA	Programmatic Agreement
pH	potential hydrogen (a measure of acidity and alkalinity)
PME	protection, mitigation, and enhancement
RAMP	Recreation Adaptive Management Plan
RARWG	Recreation and Aesthetics Resource Work Group
RM	river mile
ROS	Recreation Opportunity Spectrum
RRWG	Recreation Resource Work Group
RV	recreational vehicle
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SMA	special management area
SRBA	Snake River Basin Adjudication
TCP	traditional cultural property
TDG	total dissolved gas
TMDL	total maximum daily load
TRWG	Terrestrial Resources Work Group
TSS	total suspended solids
TSS/L	total suspended solids per liter
$\mu\text{g/L}$	microgram per liter
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WMMP	Wildlife Mitigation and Management Plan