

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xv
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	xix
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xxi
1.0 PURPOSE OF ACTION AND NEED FOR POWER	1-1
1.1 PURPOSE OF ACTION	1-1
1.2 NEED FOR POWER AND TRANSMISSION	1-3
1.2.1 Power	1-3
1.2.2 Transmission.....	1-5
1.3 INTERVENTIONS	1-7
1.4 SCOPING PROCESS.....	1-8
1.5 AGENCY CONSULTATION	1-12
2.0 PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	2-1
2.2 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE	2-1
2.3 CO-APPLICANTS' PROPOSAL	2-3
2.3.1 Description of Existing and Proposed Project Facilities	2-3
2.3.2 Construction Sequence	2-8
2.3.3 Proposed Project Boundary	2-9
2.3.4 Description of Proposed Project Operations	2-9
2.3.5 Project Safety.....	2-11
2.3.6 Proposed Environmental Measures	2-12
2.4 MODIFICATION OF THE CO-APPLICANTS' PROPOSED ACTION	2-17
2.4.1 Agency and Other Interested Party Recommendations.....	2-17
2.4.2 Environmental Conditions.....	2-17
2.4.2.1 Federal Land Management Conditions.....	2-17
2.4.2.2 Section 18	2-19
2.4.2.3 Water Quality Certification	2-20
2.4.3 Staff Alternative	2-20
2.4.3.1 Project Facilities	2-20
2.4.3.2 Environmental Measures	2-24
2.5 OTHER PROJECT FEATURES CONSIDERED OR ELIMINATED FROM DETAILED ANALYSIS	2-27
2.5.1 Powerhouse Location	2-27
2.5.2 Water Conduit Routes	2-27
2.5.3 Transmission Alignments.....	2-31

3.0	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....	3-1
3.1	GENERAL SETTING.....	3-1
3.2	CUMULATIVELY AFFECTED RESOURCES.....	3-2
3.2.1	Geographic Scope.....	3-2
3.2.2	Temporal Scope.....	3-3
3.3	PROPOSED ACTION AND ACTION ALTERNATIVES	3-3
3.3.1	Geology and Soils.....	3-3
3.3.1.1	Affected Environment.....	3-3
3.3.1.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-12
3.3.1.3	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	3-33
3.3.2	Water Resources	3-33
3.3.2.1	Affected Environment.....	3-33
3.3.2.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-46
3.3.2.3	Cumulative Effects on Water Quantity and Quality	3-72
3.3.2.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	3-73
3.3.3	Aquatic Resources.....	3-73
3.3.3.1	Affected Environment.....	3-73
3.3.3.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-81
3.3.3.3	Cumulative Effects	3-90
3.3.3.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	3-90
3.3.4	Terrestrial Resources	3-90
3.3.4.1	Affected Environment.....	3-90
3.3.4.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-113
3.3.4.3	Cumulative Effects	3-150
3.3.4.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	3-150
3.3.5	Threatened and Endangered Species	3-151
3.3.5.1	Affected Environment.....	3-151
3.3.5.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-157
3.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects	3-178
3.3.5.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	3-179
3.3.6	Recreational Resources	3-179
3.3.6.1	Affected Environment.....	3-179
3.3.6.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-189
3.3.6.3	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	3-208
3.3.7	Land Use and Aesthetic Resources	3-208
3.3.7.1	Affected Environment.....	3-208
3.3.7.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-227
3.3.7.3	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	3-260
3.3.8	Socioeconomic Resources.....	3-260
3.3.8.1	Affected Environment.....	3-260
3.3.8.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-266
3.3.8.3	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	3-274

3.3.9	Cultural Resources.....	3-275
3.3.9.1	Affected Environment.....	3-275
3.3.9.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-282
3.3.9.3	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	3-289
3.3.10	Air Quality and Noise.....	3-289
3.3.10.1	Air Quality	3-289
3.3.10.2	Noise	3-295
3.3.10.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3-300
3.3.10.4	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	3-311
3.4	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES	3-311
3.5	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SHORT-TERM USES AND LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY	3-311
4.0	DEVELOPMENTAL ANALYSIS	4-1
4.1	PROPOSED PROJECT ALTERNATIVES.....	4-1
4.1.1	Economic Assumptions	4-1
4.1.2	Projected Energy Facility Costs for the No-action Alternative	4-2
4.1.3	Projected Energy Facility Costs for the Co-applicants’ Proposal	4-2
4.1.4	Projected Energy Facility Costs for Staff Alternative	4-6
4.2	PROJECTED ENVIRONMENTAL COSTS.....	4-9
4.3	PROJECTED ENERGY COSTS	4-24
4.4	ECONOMIC COMPARISON	4-25
4.5	COST OF ALTERNATIVE TRANSMISSION ALIGNMENTS	4-29
4.6	SENSITIVITY TO TRANSMISSION LINE FACILITY COST OF THE LEAPS PROJECT AND OTHER FACTORS	4-30
5.0	STAFF CONCLUSIONS	5-1
5.1	COMPARISON OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES	5-2
5.1.1	Co-applicants’ Proposed Action.....	5-10
5.1.2	Staff Alternative	5-14
5.2	DISCUSSION OF KEY ISSUES.....	5-18
5.2.1	Project Facilities	5-18
5.2.2	Construction Oversight.....	5-21
5.2.3	Geology and Soils.....	5-21
5.2.4	Water Resources	5-23
5.2.5	Aquatic Resources	5-25
5.2.6	Terrestrial Resources	5-27
5.2.7	Threatened and Endangered Species	5-31
5.2.8	Recreational Resources	5-32
5.2.9	Land Use and Aesthetics	5-34

5.2.10	Cultural Resources.....	5-37
5.4	FISH AND WILDLIFE AGENCY RECOMMENDATIONS	5-38
5.4.1	Recommendations Pursuant to Section 10(j) of the FPA.....	5-38
5.4.2	Recommendations Pursuant to Section 10(a)(1) of the FPA	5-39
5.5	CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE AND OTHER RESOURCE PLANS	5-39
5.6	RELATIONSHIP OF LICENSE PROCESS TO LAWS AND POLICIES	5-40
5.6.1	Section 401 of the Clean Water Act—Water Quality Certification.....	5-40
5.6.2	Section 18 of the Federal Power Act—Authority to Require Fishways	5-41
5.6.3	Section 4(e) of the Federal Power Act	5-41
5.6.4	Endangered Species Act	5-41
5.6.5	National Historic Preservation Act.....	5-46
6.0	LITERATURE CITED.....	6-1
7.0	LIST OF PREPARERS	7-1
8.0	LIST OF RECIPIENTS.....	8-1

- Appendix A USFS Review of Special Use Permit Application
- Appendix B Need Determination for 500-kV Transmission Line
- Appendix C Co-applicants' Alternative 4(e) Conditions
- Appendix D Aesthetic Simulations

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	LEAPS Project—Location map	1-2
Figure 2.	LEAPS Project—Proposed project facility locations	2-4
Figure 3.	LEAPS Project—Proposed upper reservoir and powerhouse sites.....	2-5
Figure 4	Proposed operation of the LEAPS Project in pumping and generating modes.....	2-10
Figure 5.	Staff alternative project facility locations	2-21
Figure 6.	Staff alternative showing the locations of Decker Canyon upper reservoir and Ortega Oaks powerhouse sites	22
Figure 7.	LEAPS Project—Location of optional Evergreen powerhouse site	2-28
Figure 8.	LEAPS Project—Transmission alignments (1–5) considered but eliminated from detailed analyses	2-33
Figure 9.	LEAPS Project—Fault zones in the project area	3-8
Figure 10.	LEAPS Project—Extent of inundation resulting from dam/dike break	3-31
Figure 11.	Projected frequency of Lake Elsinore lake elevations under current conditions (baseline) and proposed 2020 conditions with make-up water	3-55
Figure 12.	San Juan and San Mateo creeks near the project area.....	3-79
Figure 13.	LEAPS Project—Habitat subarea locations	3-94
Figure 14.	LEAPS Project—Habitat core areas and linkages	3-139
Figure 15.	LEAPS Project—Transmission line segments	3-147
Figure 16.	LEAPS Project—Existing recreational facilities	3-185
Figure 17.	LEAPS Project—Land uses and communities near proposed and alternative reservoir sites.....	3-205
Figure 18.	LEAPS Project—Land uses near proposed and alternative powerhouse sites.....	3-210
Figure 19.	LEAPS Project—Scenic integrity objectives in the Cleveland National Forest and San Mateo Wilderness area	3-220
Figure 20.	LEAPS Project—Three Dimension view of the co-applicants' proposed and the staff alternative facility locations.....	5-9

This page intentionally left blank.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Excavation quantities for penstock alternatives (per conduit)	2-29	
Table 2.	Fill quantities for upper reservoir alternatives	3-22	
Table 3.	Daily discharge (cfs) statistics for USGS gage no. 1107055 San Jacinto River at Elsinore, California, for water years 1975 to 2004	3-34	
Table 4.	Daily discharge (cfs) statistics for USGS gage no. 11046530, San Juan Creek at La Novia Street Bridge near San Juan Capistrano, for water years 1986 to 2004	3-37	
Table 5.	Estimated groundwater basin budget for Elsinore groundwater basin....	3-38	
Table 6.	Beneficial use designation definitions.....	3-40	
Table 7.	Applicable water quality objectives for waters potentially affected by the proposed project	3-41	
Table 8.	Projected Lake Elsinore response to proposed sources of make-up water	3-54	
Table 9.	Fish species reported to occur in Lake Elsinore from 1964 to 2003.....	3-74	
Table 10.	Special status fish species that may occur or are known to be present within the study area.....	3-81	
Table 11.	Natural communities mapped in the study area	3-91	
Table 12.	Invasive non-native plants documented to occur within the study area... <td> </td>		3-95
Table 13.	Special status plant species that may occur or are known to occur in the LEAPS study area	3-99	
Table 14.	Special status wildlife species that may occur or are known to be present within the study area	3-109	
Table 15.	Acreage that would be affected by construction and revegetation at proposed and alternative reservoir, powerhouse, staging, substation, transmission, and road locations	3-114	
Table 16.	Number of field visits conducted during FWS protocol surveys for listed species, by year, in the LEAPS Project area	3-167	
Table 17.	Description of Recreation Opportunity Spectrum classes	3-180	
Table 18.	Shoreline locations of recreational value potentially affected by lake-level fluctuations	3-183	
Table 19.	City of Lake Elsinore recreational facilities.....	3-188	
Table 20.	Description of SIO designations and guidelines for National Forest System lands.....	3-221	
Table 21.	SIO designations for lands within the proposed project area or lands influenced by project operations	3-222	
Table 22.	BLM scenic quality scoring and evaluation criteria	3-223	
Table 23.	Existing scenic quality based on BLM Visual Resource Management inventory/evaluation criteria	3-224	
Table 24.	Suitable uses by land use zone	3-236	
Table 25.	Transmission line mileage by slope and land use zone.....	3-237	

Table 26.	Trip assignments for each of the five road segments analyzed by the co-applicants.....	3-253
Table 27.	Population, 1990, 2000, and 2003 (estimate).....	3-261
Table 28.	Race and ethnicity, 2000	3-262
Table 29.	Total employment by sector, 1990 and 2000	3-263
Table 30.	Per capita incomes and percent of individuals below poverty level, 1999	3-265
Table 31.	California and federal ambient air quality standards	3-291
Table 32.	California toxic air contaminants unit risk factors	3-292
Table 33.	California State area designations for criteria air pollutants	3-293
Table 34.	A-weighted (dBA) sound levels of typical noise environments	3-295
Table 35.	City of Lake Elsinore construction noise standards	3-298
Table 36.	Estimated air emissions from construction activities.....	3-301
Table 37.	Estimated air emissions from construction activities associated with alternate powerhouse locations	3-301
Table 38.	Typical electric generation mix for California.....	3-303
Table 39.	Comparison of emissions between the co-applicants' proposal and no action	3-304
Table 40.	Minimum distances (in feet)/construction L _{max} noise levels (in dBA) at sensitive land uses	3-307
Table 41.	Noise level increase attributed to the construction of the proposed project.....	3-308
Table 42.	Assumptions for economic analysis of the LEAPS Hydroelectric Project.....	4-1
Table 43.	Projected energy facility costs for the co-applicants' proposal (Morrell-Santa Rosa alternative, excluding environmental measures), including staff review items (<i>in italics</i>).....	4-4
Table 44.	Projected energy facility costs for the staff alternative (Decker-Ortega alternative excluding environmental measures), including staff review items (<i>in italics</i>).....	4-7
Table 45.	Summary of capital and one-time costs, annual costs, and total annualized costs of environmental measures proposed by the co-applicants, included in the staff alternative, and recommended by others for the LEAPS Project	4-10
Table 46.	Comparison of annualized costs of environmental measures by resource area and overall project costs.....	4-24
Table 47.	Analysis of the pumping and turbining weekly cycles for the LEAPS Project.....	4-26
Table 48.	Summary of projected annual costs and capital costs under the co-applicants' proposal.....	4-27
Table 49.	Summary of projected annual costs and capital costs under the staff alternative	4-28

Table 50.	Summary of annual net benefits for the no-action alternative, co-applicants' proposal and staff alternative for the LEAPS Project	4-29
Table 51.	Summary of construction costs and characteristics for three transmission line alignments	4-30
Table 52.	Summary of annual net benefits for the no-action alternative, co-applicants' proposal, and staff alternative for the LEAPS Project excluding transmission line construction costs	4-31
Table 53.	Summary of key differences in the potential effects of the co-applicants' proposal and the staff alternative.....	5-2
Table 54.	Fish and wildlife agency Section 10(j) recommendations	5-38
Table 55.	Summary of species and critical habitat findings under the staff alternative	5-42

This page intentionally left blank.

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

APE	area of potential effects
APLIC	Avian Power Line Interaction Committee
AQMD	Air Quality Management District
BLM	U.S. Bureau of Land Management
BMP	best management practice
°C	degrees Celsius
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CDFG	California Department of Fish and Game
CDP	Census Designated Place
cfs	cubic feet per second
co-applicants	Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District and Nevada Hydro Company, Inc.
Commission	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
dBA	A-weighted decibel scale
DO	dissolved oxygen
EIS	environmental impact statement
Elsinore Valley MWD	Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
°F	degrees Fahrenheit
FCWCD	Flood Control and Water Conservation District
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FPA	Federal Power Act
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
GIS	geographic information system
HCP	Habitat Conservation Plan
HPMP	Historic Properties Management Plan
kV	kilovolt
L _{dn}	day-night average sound level
L _{eq}	equivalent sound level
L%	percentile distributions of sound levels
LEAPS	Lake Elsinore Advanced Pumped Storage
LESJWA	Lake Elsinore and San Jacinto Watersheds Authority
LOS	level of service
MBA	Michael Brandman Associates
mg/l	milligrams per liter
msl	mean sea level
MIS	management indicator species
MSHCP	Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan
MW	megawatt

MWh	megawatt-hours
National Register	National Register of Historic Places
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
Nevada Hydro	Nevada Hydro Company
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA Fisheries	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries
O&M	operation and maintenance
OHV	off-highway vehicle
PM ₁₀	particulates that have an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or smaller
PM _{2.5}	particulates that have an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 microns or smaller
R	rural
RN	Roaded Natural
ROS	Recreation Opportunity Spectrum
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SCE	Southern California Edison
SDG&E	San Diego Gas & Electric Company
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SIO	Scenic Integrity Objective
SPNM	Semi-primitive, Non-motorized
SPM	Semi-primitive, Motorized
STEP	Southwest Transmission Expansion Plan
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
TCP	traditional cultural properties
TE/VS Interconnect	Talega-Escondido/Valley-Serrano 500-kV Interconnect Project
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
$\mu\text{g/l}$	micrograms per liter
USFS	U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WECC	Western Electricity Coordinating Council